

Fulton County Board of Commissioners

Agenda Item Summary

BOC Meeting Date 10/15/2014

Requesting Agency

Water Resources

Commission Districts Affected

Requested Action (Identify appropriate Action or Motion, purpose, cost, timeframe, etc.)

Request approval to renew existing contract – Department of Water Resources, #14ITB0356K-NH, 2014 Standby Miscellaneous Construction – Water System Services, in the total amount of \$3,000,000.00 with (A) Wade Coots Company, Inc., (Austell, GA) in the amount of \$1,520,106.73; and (B) Site Engineering, Inc. (Atlanta, GA) in the amount of \$1,479,893.27 to provide construction services on an as needed basis. This action exercises the first of two renewal options. One renewal option remains. Effective dates: January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.

Requirement for Board Action (Cite specific Board policy, statute or code requirement)

In accordance with Purchasing Code Section 102-394(6), the Purchasing Department shall present all renewal requests to the Board of Commissioners at least 90 days prior to the contract renewal date or 60 days if the contract term is six (6) months or less.

Is this Item Goal Related? (If yes, describe how this action meets the specific Board Focus Area or Goal)

No

Is this a purchasing item?

Yes

Summary & Background

(First sentence includes Agency recommendation. Provide an executive summary of the action that gives an overview of the relevant details for the item.)

The Department of Water Resources requests approval to renew existing contract to provide construction services.

This contract will provide construction services, installation, and emergency repair of miscellaneous water mains, water service lines, and other required improvements to the water distribution system within Fulton County. The work includes providing an emergency water main repair crew on an as needed basis.

The County's water distribution system includes all water north of the Chattahoochee River in North Fulton with the exception of Mountain Park and a portion of Roswell. The water system serves approximately 70,650 residential and commercial customers. The Department of Water Resources maintains over 1,200 miles of 8 inch to 54 inch water main, 21,000 valves, and 12,000 fire hydrants as well as service connections, meters and related appurtenances.

Agency Director Approval				
Typed Name and Title	Phone	Approval		
Signature	Date			

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

Continued

(A) Wade Coots Company, Inc.,

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Contract Amount	14-0528	7/16/14	\$1,520,106.73
1 st Renewal			\$1,520,106.73
Total Revised Amount			\$3,040,213.46

(B) Site Engineering, Inc.

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Contract Amount	14-0528	7/16/14	\$1,479,893.27
1 st Renewal			\$1,479,893.27
Total Revised Amount			\$2,959,786.54

Contract & Compliance Information (Provide Contractor and Subcontractor details.)

Contract Value: \$1,520,106.73

Prime Vendor: Wade Coots Company

Prime Status: Non-Minority Location: Austell, GA County: Cobb County

Prime Value: \$1,074,557.73 or 70.69%

Subcontractor: North American Pipeline Management, Inc.

Subcontractor Status: Hispanic Female Business Enterprise - Non-Certified

Location: Marietta, GA
County: Cobb County

Contract Value: \$445,549.00 or 29.31%

Total Contract Value: \$1,520,106.73 or 100% Total M/FBE Values: \$445,549.00 or 29.31%

Contract Value: \$1,479,893.27

Prime Vendor: Site Engineering, Inc.

Prime Status: Non-Minority Location: Atlanta, GA County: Fulton County

Prime Value: \$1,479,893.27 or 70.69%

Subcontractor: None

Total Contract Value: \$1,479,893.27 or 100.00%

Total M/FBE Values: -0

Grand Contract Value: \$3,000,000.00 or 100.00% Grand M/FBE Value: \$445,549.00 or 14.85%

Contract	Contract	Contractor	Addres	City	Stat	Zip	Contact	Conta	Contact Email	Upon	Amount	Percentag	Prime/Contra
or Type	or Status	Name	s		е	Code	Name	ct		Approv		e	ct Value
								Phone		al			
Prime	Non-	Wade Coots	298 Six	Austell	GΑ	3016	Wade	770-	wadecootscompany@yahoo.c		\$1,520,106.7	70.69%	\$1,074,557.7
	M/FBE		Flags			8	Coots	819-	om		3		3
			Parkwa					0601					
			У										
Sub	M/FBE	North	62	Mariett	GΑ	3006	Dorelly	678-			\$445,549	29.31%	
		American	Hamby	a		7	A.	820-					
		Pipeline	Road				Herefor	3991					
		Managemen					d						
		t, Inc.											
Prime	Non-	Site	7025	Atlanta	GΑ	3034	Dwight	770-			\$1,479,893.2	100%	\$1,479,893.2
	M/FBE	Engineering,	Best			0	A.	263-			7		7
		Inc.	Friend				Voyles	7234					
			Road										

Agency Director Approval	County Manager's	
Typed Name and Title	Phone	Approval
Signature	Date	

l 4-0851	.			
Solicitation Information	NON-MFBE	МВЕ	FBE	TOTAL
No. Bid Notices Sent:				
No. Bids Received:				
Total Contract Value	\$3,000,000			
Total M/FBE Values	\$445,549			
Total Prime Value	\$2,554,451.00			
Fiscal Impact / Fundin				get amount and account number,
-	source	e of funds, an	d any future fundin	
203-540-5400-1067 Wa	ter & Sewer R&E, \(\frac{Prov}{}{}\)	ce of funds, and Water Reso	d any future fundin urces, Misc. Se originals, number e	g requirements.)
203-540-5400-1067 Wa	ter & Sewer R&E, \(\frac{Prov}{exhib}\)	ce of funds, and Water Reso	d any future fundin urces, Misc. Se originals, number e	g requirements.) wer Line Proj - \$3,000,000
203-540-5400-1067 Wa Exhibits Attached Exhibit 1: Renewal Agi	ter & Sewer R&E, \(\frac{Prov}{exhib}\)	ce of funds, and Water Resorvide copies of copies in the uppe	d any future fundin urces, Misc. Se originals, number e	g requirements.) wer Line Proj - \$3,000,000
-	ter & Sewer R&E, \(\frac{Prov}{exhib}\) reements erformance Report	ce of funds, and Water Resorvide copies of copies in the uppe	d any future fundin urces, Misc. Se originals, number e	g requirements.) wer Line Proj - \$3,000,000
203-540-5400-1067 Wa Exhibits Attached Exhibit 1: Renewal Agr Exhibit 2: Contractor P	ter & Sewer R&E, \(\frac{Prov}{exhib}\) reements erformance Report enewal Evaluation	ce of funds, and Water Resortide copies of copies in the uppe	d any future fundin urces, Misc. Se originals, number e r right corner.)	g requirements.) wer Line Proj - \$3,000,000 xhibits consecutively, and label all

Agency Director Approval				
Typed Name and Title	Phone	Approval		
Signature	Date			

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

Continued

Procurement						
Contra	ct Attached:	Previous Contracts:				
14ITB0	ation Number: 356K-NH	Submitting Agency: Departement of Water resources	Staff Contact: Kun Suwanarpa	Contact Pho 404-612-739		
Descrip	otion:					
		FINANCI	IAL SUMMARY			
Origina Previous This R TOTAL Grant II Amour Match Start D End Da Match	nformation Sum nt Requested: Required: late: ate: Account \$:	3,000,000 6,000,000 nmary :	MBE/FBE Participation Amount: 445,549 Amount: Amount: Amount: Cash In-Kind Approval to A Apply & Acce	%:14 %: %: %: ward		
203-540 based u	g Line 1: 0-5400-l067, upon approval 015 Budget	Funding Line 2:	Funding Line 3:	Funding Lir	ne 4:	
		KEY CON	ITRACT TERMS			
Start D a 1/1/201		End Date: 12/31/2015				
\$3,000,	djustment : 000	Renewal/Extension To 1/12 months	erms:			
ROUTING & APPROVALS						
Χ	Originating Dep	partment:	Suwanarpa, Kun	Date	e: 9/18/2014	
	County Attorne			Date		
Χ	<u> </u>	ntract Compliance:	Strong-Whitaker, Fe		e: 10/2/2014	
Χ		t Analyst/Grants Admin:	Parker, Jamar		e: 9/24/2014	
	Grants Manage			Date		
Χ	County Manage	er:	O'Connor, Patrick	Date	e: 10/9/2014	

	DEPARTMENT	OF PURCHASING & CONT	FRACT COMPLIANCE	
	CONT	RACTORS PERFORMANO CONSTRUCTION SERVI		
Report Period Start	Report Period End		Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
5/1/2013	7/31/2013		2/22/2013	2/24/2014
PO Number				PO Date
PO54011SC7708				
Department				WATER RESOURCES
Bid Number				
Service Commodity	Construction Services			•
Contractor	WADE COOTS CONSTR	RUCTION COMPANY	Υ	
0 = Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirement	s less than 50% of the time,		or efficient, unacceptable delay,
1 = Poor	Incompetence, high degree of o	customer dissatisfaction. s 70% of the time. Marginal	ty responsive, effective and/or	r efficient: delays require significant
	adjustments to programs: key i	employees marginally capal	ole; customers somewhat sati responsive, effective and/or o	efficient; delays are excusable and/or
2 = Satisfactory	results in minor programs adju customers indicate satisfaction	stments; employees are cap n.	pable and satisfactorily provid	ing service without intervention:
= Good	Achieves contract requirement programs/mission; key employ	e 90% of the time Usually t	esponsive; effective and/or ef nd seldom require quidance; o	ficient; delays have not impact on sustomers are highly satisfied.
= Excellent	Achieves contract requirement employees are expens and req	s 100% of the time. Immedi	ately responsive; highly effici	ent and/or effective; no delays; key
I. Project Development (-Sp	pecification Compliance - Technical Ex			
Comments:	compliance to job specs are very good.			form the technical requirements
C :	compliance to job specs are very good.	His personnel are nightly qua	illied and show their ability to pe	morni die teamisaare qui a
expected.				
C 2				
3 6 4				
4	s Met Per Contract - Reliability - Resp	onsiveness to Direction/Chan	ge - On Time Completion - Liqu	iidated Damages)
Comments:	Chief, at California Transactory			
0 Contractor is	very reliable and responded to all char	nges required by the job. Jobs	for the most part were complete	ed in a timely manner and all
milestones w	ere met.			
C 2				
C 4				
3. Award - Proposal Deve Comments:	lopment (-Met Timeless/Due Dates -	Reasonable/Cooperative - F	lexible/Motivated - Prompt Pro	oblem Notification)
O As stated be	fore contractor met all requirements in	a timely manner. Crew chiefs	and other personnel were motiv	ated and cooperative.
C 1				
2				
C 4				
1. Construction (-Mobilization	on Timely - Were Milestones Met - Met	/Exceeded Specifications – V	vithin Budget Performance - Pro	oper Invoicing - Quality of Work -
Responsive to Owner)				
	tion was timely. The work was within th	e stated budget and Quality o	of work was excellent. The invoice	ing was promptly and accurately
c 1 submitted.				
C 2				
C 3				
6 ₄				

14-0851 ors Performance Report - WADE COOTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY_Con... Page 2 of 2

Comments: Contractor and their personnel displayed a	a great deal of professionalism in management and work ethic.	
1		
2		
3		
4		
verall Performance Rating:	3.6	
ould you select/recommend this vendor again? Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No) Yes No	Rating completed by: john.mccle	elland AM
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature	Date
KUN SUWANARPA	You Sompe.	7/29/2013
Col		

	DEPARTMEN	T OF PURCHASING & CO	NTRACT COMPLIANCE				
	col	NTRACTORS PERFORMA CONSTRUCTION SER					
Report Period Start	Report Period End		Contract Period Start	Contract Period End			
7/31/2013	31/2013 9/30/2013 2/17/2013 2/17/2014						
PO Number	D Number PO Date						
13SC67857K-DB							
Department		4		PUBLIC WORKS			
Bld Number	11ITB80161K-DB						
Service Commodity	2013 Standby Miscella	neous Wastewater	System Services				
Contractor	SITE ENGINEERING		And the second s				
0 = Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirement incompetence, high degree of		e, not responsive, effective and	or efficient, unacceptable delay,			
1 = Poor	Achieves contract requiremen	nts 70% of the time. Margin		r efficient; delays require significant			
2 = Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirement results in minor programs adj	nts 80% of the time; general sustments; employees are c		sfied. afficient; delays are excusable and/or ling service without intervention;			
3 = Good		nts 90% of the time. Usually		ficient; delays have not impact on			
4 = Excellent	Achieves contract requirement	nts 100% of the time. Imme		ent and/or effective; no delays; key			
Project Development (-Special Control	ecification Compliance – Technical E	ē	ustomers expectations are exce tration – Personnel Qualification)	edea.			
C Comments:		772	41. II O II. O O.				
The Contractor	r performed the assigned task in a tir npetent and well qualified to perform		ce with the Standby Contract. Su	perintendent and workers were			
C 2							
C 3							
6 ₄							
2. Design (-Were Milestones	Met Per Contract - Reliability - Res	ponsiveness to Direction/Cha	nge – On Time Completion – Liqu	idated Damages)			
Comments:							
Milestones wer	re met and project completed on time	.					
c 1							
C .							
@ ,							
3. Award - Proposal Develo	pment (-Met Timeless/Due Dates -	Reasonable/Cooperative -	Flexible/Motivated - Prompt Pro	oblem Notification)			
Comments:			The second secon				
The Contractor	was very cooperative, flexible in sol	iving issues and prompt in no	tifying all involved County personn	nel.			
c .							
C 2							
3 (e 4							
*	Timely – Were Milestones Met – Me	et/Exceeded Specifications —	Within Budget Performance – Pro	per Invoicing – Quality of Work –			
Responsive to Owner)		- Court openioalions	The miles and a second and a pro-	real mediang accounty of thorn-			
Comments:							

14-0851 rs Performance Report - SITE ENGINEERING_Construction Services Rating F... Page 2 of 2

Contractor mobilized timely. All milestone were me	et and on schedule. The project was invoiced properly, within budget.	
C 3		
© 4		
L. 5. Closeout Action and Contractors Key Personnel (-Effectiv	e Management - Credentials/Experience - Ability to Accomplish Mis-	sion - Conduct)
Comments:	- management and a reason plant and	TARME TURCHTUTTATA
12	g the task presented. The Contractor personnel worked in a professional	and timely manner providing
effective supervision.		, , ,
C 2		
C 3		
(6 4		
Overall Performance Rating:	4.0	1
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? (Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No)	Rating completed by: Cherise.Smith	Check Inc
• Yes No		
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature	Date
	Kn Surpe.	10/15/2013
	Rw 10/16/13 Pet 10/12	//>



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACT RENEWAL

DEPARTMENT: Water Resources

BID/RFP# DESCRIPTION: 2014 Standby Miscellaneous Construction -

Water System Services

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 14ITB0356K-NH

ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE: 7/16/2014

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: 1/01/2015 THROUGH 12/31/2015

RENEWAL OPTION # 1 OF 2

NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 2

RENEWAL AMOUNT: \$1,479,893.27

COMPANY'S NAME: Site Engineering, Inc.

ADDRESS: 7025 Best Friend Road

CITY: Atlanta

STATE: Georgia

ZIP: 30340

SIGNATURES: SEE NEXT PAGE

SIGNATURES:

Vendor agrees to accept the renewal option and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the contract and specifications for Bid/RFP# 14ITB0356K-NH

(Person signing must have signature authority for the company/corporation) NAME: Mr. Dwight A. Voyles (Print) (President) VENDOR'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE _____ ATTEST: NOTARY PUBLIC: TITLE: COUNTY: MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: SEAL (Affix) ATTEST: **FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA** DATE: JOHN H. EAVES, CHAIRMAN **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS** DATE: _____ MARK MASSEY **CLERK TO THE COMMISSION** DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZES RENEWAL OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED BID/RFP: DEPARTMENT HEAD: Kun Suwanarpa (Print) DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE: DATE Please indicate if the following are provided: BOC Chairperson's signature required on renewals \$ 50,000.00 or more or any Bid/RFP previously approved by the Board of Commissioners of Fulton County. A copy of the current Certificate of Insurance must be attached to all renewals. Current Performance and Payment Bonds attached (If required) Minimum of four (4) signature pages required.

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACT RENEWAL

DEPARTMENT: Water Resources

BID/RFP# DESCRIPTION: 2014 Standby Miscellaneous Construction -

Water System Services

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 14ITB0356K-NH

ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE: 7/16/2014

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: 1/01/2015 THROUGH 12/31/2015

RENEWAL OPTION # 1 OF 2

NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 2

RENEWAL AMOUNT: \$1,520,106.73

COMPANY'S NAME: Wade Coots Company, Inc.

ADDRESS: 298 Six Flags Parkway

CITY: Austell

STATE: Georgia

ZIP: 30168

SIGNATURES: SEE NEXT PAGE

SIGNATURES:

Vendor agrees to accept the renewal option and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the contract and specifications for Bid/RFP# 14ITB0356K-NH

	must have signature authority for the company/corporate	
NAME:	Mr. Wade Coots	(Pri
	(President)	,

(i resident)				
VENDOR'S SIGNATURE:	DATE			
ATTEST:				
	NOTARY PUBLIC:			
TITLE:	COUNTY:			
SEAL (Affix)	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:			
ATTEST:				
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA				
	DATE:			
JOHN H. EAVES, CHAIRMAN BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS	DATE:			
	DATE:			
MARK MASSEY CLERK TO THE COMMISSION				
DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZES RENEWA BID/RFP:	AL OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED			
DEPARTMENT HEAD: Kun S	Suwanarpa (Print)			
DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE:	DATE			
Please indicate if the following are provide	d:			
previously approved by the Board of	nsurance must be attached to all renewals. Bonds attached (If required)			

CONTRACT RENEWAL EVALUATION

FORM

Department: Depai	ctment	of	Water	Resourc	es _{Date:} _	7/25/2014	_
Contract # and Title:	#14ITB(356	K-NH	2014	Standb	y Miscellaneous	
					ystem S	Services	

It is extremely important that every contract be rigidly scrutinized to determine if it is needed or if it is needed in its current form or if the contract provides the County with value. We have to consider these questions very seriously and not superficially. Each renewal must be reviewed and answers provided for the critical questions below. Please submit a completed copy of this form with all renewal requests. Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

- Describe what efforts were made to reduce the scope and cost of this contract.
 As standbys with various items, we maximized use of the contractors based on their individual pricing.
- Describe the analysis you made to determine if the current prices for this good or service is reflective of the current market? (Called other jurisdictions, internet research, trade journals, etc.). Prices under this contract were reviewed and compared to historical pricing and compared to recently bid contracts.
- What was the actual expenditure, from the AMS System, spent for this contract for FY2014?
- 4. Is this a seasonal item or service? Yes No X
- 5. Has an analysis been conducted to determine if this service can be done in-house? If so please attach.

 No analysis has been performed. We currently use these services to supplement staff because we do not have the equipment, credentials and manpower to perform this work in house.
- 6. What would be the impact on your organization if this contract was not approved
 We would not have the means to prevent additional main breaks and
 extensions to the water system and support staff during emergencies

Department Head: | Date: | 7/25/2014 | Date: | 7/25/2014 | Department Head: | Date: | 07/25/14