



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

GOODS AND COMMODITIES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/22	6/30/22	1/1/2022	12/31/2022
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
012622-87		1/26/2022	
Department			

DREAM	
Bid Number	Service Commodity
19ITBC119850C-GS	BALLAST, LAMPS & LIGHT FIXTURES
Contractor	

REGENCY LIGHTING
Performance Rating

0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Quality of Goods/Services	(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)
------------------------------	--

☐ 0	Comments All material and supplies received meet specifications provided to the vendor.
☐ 1	
☐ 2	
☐ 3	
☒ 4	

2. Timeliness of Performance	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)
------------------------------	--

☐ 0	Comments All deliveries are made per contract agreement.
☐ 1	
☐ 2	
☐ 3	
☒ 4	

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
☐	0	Comments This vendor has been very responsive to all requirements made by Warehouse & Logistics.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
☐	0	Comments Material provided meet specifications as well as end user expectations.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
☐	0	Comments Vendor's personnell provides excellent customer service and have extensive knowledge of their products.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

Overall Performance Rating	4.00	Date	6/3/22
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?		☒ Yes	☐ No
Rating completed by:	Calvin Gamble <i>Calvin Gamble</i>		
Department Head Name:	JOSEPH DAVIS		
Department Head Signature	<i>Joseph Davis</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

GOODS AND COMMODITIES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/22	6/30/22	1/1/2022	12/31/22
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
012226-89		1/26/22	
Department			

DREAM	
Bid Number	Service Commodity
19ITBC119850C-GS	LAMPS, BALLAST & LIGHT FIXTURES
Contractor	

VOSS LIGHTING
Performance Rating

0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Quality of Goods/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)

☐	0	All material and supplies received meet specifications provided to the vendor.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

2. Timeliness of Performance (Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)

☐	0	All deliveries are made per contract agreement.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
☐	0	This vendor has been very responsive to all requirements made by Warehouse & Logistics.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
☐	0	Material provided meet specifications as well as end user expectations.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
☐	0	This vendor ' s personnel is customer focused and has excellent customer service skills.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

Overall Performance Rating	4.00	Date	6/3/22
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?		☒ Yes	☐ No
Rating completed by:	Calvin Gamble <i>Calvin Gamble</i>		
Department Head Name:	JOSEPH DAVIS		
Department Head Signature	<i>Joseph Davis</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

GOODS AND COMMODITIES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/22	6/30/22	1/1/2022	12/31/22
Purchaser Order Number	Purchase Order Date		
012622-90	1/26/22		
Department			

Bid Number	DREAM
19ITBC119850C-GS	Service Commodity
Contractor	LAMPS, BALLAST & LIGHT FIXTURES

SUMMITT LIGHTING
Performance Rating

0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Quality of Goods/Services

(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence –
Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)

☐	0	All material and supplies received meet specifications provided to the vendor.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

2. Timeliness of Performance

(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)

☐	0	All deliveries are made per contract agreement.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
☐	0	This vendor has been responsive to all requirements made by Warehouse & Logistics.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☒	3	
☐	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
☐	0	Material provided meet specifications as well as end user expectations.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
☐	0	Vendor's personnell provides good customer service and have extensive knowledge of their products
☐	1	
☐	2	
☒	3	
☐	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.60	Date	6/3/22
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?		☒ Yes	☐ No
Rating completed by:	Calvin Gamble <i>[Signature]</i>		
Department Head Name:	JOSEPH DAVIS		
Department Head Signature	<i>[Signature]</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

GOODS AND COMMODITIES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/22	6/30/22	1/1/2022	12/31/2022
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
012622-91		1/26/22	
Department			

DREAM

Bid Number	Service Commodity
19ITBC119850C-GS	BALLAST, LAMPS & LIGHT FIXTURES
Contractor	

E. SAM JONES

Performance Rating

0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Quality of Goods/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)

☐	0	All material and supplies received meet specifications provided to the vendor.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

2. Timeliness of Performance

(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)

☐	0	All deliveries are made per contract agreement.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
☐	0	This vendor has been very responsive to all requirements made by Warehouse & Logistics.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
☐	0	Material provided meet specifications as well as end user expectations.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
☐	0	Vendor's personnell provides excellent customer service and have extensive knowledge of their products.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

Overall Performance Rating	4.00	Date	6/3/22
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?		☒ Yes	☐ No
Rating completed by:	Calvin Gamble <i>Calvin Gamble</i>		
Department Head Name:	JOSEPH DAVIS		
Department Head Signature	<i>JOSEPH DAVIS</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save