DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End		
07/01/2021	09/30/2021	1/1/2021	12/31/2021		
PO Number			PO Date		
Department	Information Technology				
Bid Number	SWC98000-MNS1-0000001102 GTA				
Service Commodity	Telecommunication Services				
Contractor	AT& T				

	A1α
0 = Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied.
4 = Excellent	Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification)

\mathbf{O} \circ	Comments:			
O ₁	The vendor has provided technical excellence & administrative reports as required. Personnel qualifications have been met.			
O 2	qualifications have been met.			
O 3				
O 4				
2. Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time				

2. Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time Completion Per Contract)

Comments:

Timelines of services are satisfactory.

2

3

4

3. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

O 3

0 0	Comments:
O 1	The business relationship with the vendor is responsive and maintained through regular phone calls, email correspondence, conference calls & on- site meetings if needed.
O 3	
4	
	Catisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - Met Specification - Within Budget - Proper Invoicing - No Substitutions) Comments:
0	
O ₁	The vendor has met quality expectations and has been willing to address any AT&T issues.

5. Contractors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appropriate - Effective Supervision/Management - Available as Needed) Comments: Contractors & key personnel has provided appropriate resources and knowledge for business requirements.								
Overall Performance Rating: 3.6								
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? (Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No) Yes No	Rating completed by:	Nat	Natasha Rosser					
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature		Date					