

Performance Evaluation Details

ID	E1
Project	Engineering On-Call Services for Public Works
Project Number	24RFP032724K-JA
Supplier	BenchMark Management
Supplier Project Contact	Eskender Abebe (preferred language: English)
Performance Program	Architectural and Engineering Services
Evaluation Period	10/01/2024 to 09/30/2025
Effective Date	12/05/2025
Evaluation Type	Formal
Interview Date	Not Specified
Expectations Meeting Date	Not Specified
Status	Completed
Publication Date	12/05/2025 10:54 AM EST
Completion Date	12/05/2025 10:54 AM EST
Evaluation Score	72

Related Documents

There are no documents associated with this Performance Evaluation

OVERALL RATING GUIDE - ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

Evaluation Score Range

Outstanding = 90-100%

Excellent = 80-89%

Satisfactory = 70-79%

Needs Improvement = 50-69%

Unsatisfactory = -50%

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

14/20

Rating

Satisfactory: Project Management. Acceptable understanding of project objectives, risks and Contract requirements with some direction required from the User Department.

Comments

The Vendor seems to have difficulties with overall project management. The Vendor needs improvement with scope and schedule. Have had meeting with project contact and some corrections have been made.

SCHEDULE

14/20

Rating

Satisfactory: Delivered on schedule or on approved amended schedule. Monitoring and forecasting of schedule as per Contract requirements.

Comments

The Vendor usually the submittal dates but needs to follow up with submittals for permit approvals. Some of the project management/scoping issues have negatively impacted schedule.

QUALITY OF DESIGN, REPORTS AND DELIVERABLES

10/20

Rating

Needs Improvement: Above average number of issues with deliverables; re-submission of reports and/or deliverables may have been necessary.

Comments

Severable deliverables required complete resubmittal or complete re-design. Lack of understanding about what 30% vs 60% deliverables entail and how to schedule their delivery.

TECHNICAL SUPPORT DURING CONSTRUCTION

17/20

Rating

Excellent: Commendable. Contractor submissions are expedited on some occasions.

Comments

Vendor has not had the opportunity to provide technical support during construction during this contract.

OVERSIGHT OF CONTRACTOR COMPLIANCE WITH CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

17/20

Rating

Excellent: Proactive approach to oversight of Contract compliance. Compliance issues are resolved in a timely manner to the User Department's satisfaction and exceeds expectations in some areas.


Comments

Vendor does excellent oversight of the contract.

GENERAL COMMENTS

Comments

Vendor needs to follow-up with permitting agencies to speed up final approval of documents. Vendor needs to verify that Fulton County has reviewed and approved 30% design documents before proceeding to 60% design documents. The same with proceeding from 60% to 90% and final design documents. Vendor needs to schedule time for Fulton County to review and process plans before proceeding with further design. Vendor needs to manage schedule and be aware that the current planned improvements will not meet the design criteria and decide that a different solution is required. Subcontractor disciplines are involved too early.

 12/5/2025