

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 10/01/2021 12/31/2021 01/01/2021 12/31/2021 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date 010621-003 Department Allied Universal Services Bid Number Service Commodity Contractor Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive: highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification The Quality of services is continuously improving. The Police Department is still having weekly meetings to continuously improve Security service. 2 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per 2. Timeliness of Performance agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Directions/ Change - On Time Completion Per Contract) Allied Services is doing well filling the Security positions even dealing with a new surge of COVID cases. 2 3

3. Business Relations	(Responsivenes	s to Inquires – Promp	ot Problem Notifications)
○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4	ding a good relationsh	ip with clients and rela	ays any problems promptly.
4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)			
1	continuously shown im	provement.	
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)			
		and the General Mana	ager has done an excellent job
Overall Performance Rating	3.40	Date	
Would you select/recommend	d this vendor again?	Yes	No
Rating completed by: Captain Kenneth Schierle			
Department Head Name: Chief W. Wade Nates			
Department Head Signature			
After completing the for	·m:		
Submit to Purchasing			
Print a copy for your records			
Save the form			
Submit	Pı	int	Save