

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 10/01/22 12/31/22 01/01/22 12/31/22 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date PO 54022SC133088A-KM 01/26/22 Department Public Works - Water Bid Number Service Commodity Fire Hydrant Maintenance and Repairs Contractor American Flow Services, LLC Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification Comments 1 2 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per 2. Timeliness of Performance agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Directions/ Change - On Time Completion Per Contract) Comments 1 2 3 4

3. Business Relations	(Responsiveness to Inqui	res – Prompt Problem Notifications)
O 0 1 Comments O 3 0 4		
4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)		
0 1 Comments 0 2 0 3 0 4		
1 3. Contractors Nev Personnen	redentials/Experience Appr pervision/Management – A	•
O 0 Comments Comme		,
○ 3 ○ 4		
Overall Performance Rating 4.0	0 Date	01/27/23
Would you select/recommend the	s vendor again? 📝 Ye	
Rating completed by: Josh V	an Horn	
Department Head Name: Da	vid Clark	14
Department Head Signature	ch	10-
After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your record Save the form	S	
Submit	Print	Save