

BOC Meeting Date 12/4/2019

Requesting Agency
Public Works

Commission Districts Affected

All Districts

**Requested Action** (Identify appropriate Action or Motion, purpose, cost, timeframe, etc.)

Request approval to renew existing contract - Department of Public Works, RFP# 17RFP107440K-JD, Professional Services for Airport Consulting and Engineering Services in the amount of \$999,580.00 with Michael Baker International, Inc. (Norcross, GA), to provide consulting and engineering services for updating, modifying and implementing the Capital Improvement Program at the Fulton County Airport-Brown Field. This action exercises the second of four renewal options. Two renewal options remain. Effective dates: January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020.

Requirement for Board Action (Cite specific Board policy, statute or code requirement)

In accordance with Purchasing Code Section 102-394(6), the Purchasing Department shall present all renewal requests to the Board of Commissioners at least 90 days prior to the contract renewal date or 60 days if the contract term is six (6) months or less.

Is this Item related to a Strategic Priority Area? (If yes, note strategic priority area below)

Yes All People have economic opportunities

## Is this a purchasing item?

Yes

**Summary & Background** 

(First sentence includes Agency recommendation. Provide an executive summary of the action that gives an overview of the relevant details for the item.)

**Scope of Work:** The contract provides consulting, planning, engineering and construction management services with Michael Baker International for updating, modifying and implementing specific projects from the Capital Improvement Program at the Fulton County Airport-Brown Field. The individual design and improvement projects will be accomplished in specific task orders on a project-by-project basis as required, and contingent on funding availability through Federal and GDOT grants and future County budgets.

**Community Impact:** The community expects that the development of infrastructure within the airport is done in a responsible manner. This contract allows Public Works to ensure that projects are developed in accordance with state and federal guidelines while ensuring local concerns are addressed.

**Department Recommendation:** The Department of Public Works recommends approval to renew an existing contract to provide consulting and engineering services for updating, modifying and implementing the Capital Improvement Program at the Fulton County Airport-Brown Field.

Agency Director Approval		County Manager's
Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director	Phone (404) 612-5800	Approval
Signature	Date	

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

#### Continued

**Project Implications:** As a result of the FAA's approval of the Fulton County Airport-Brown Field Master Plan, it is necessary to employ the professional services of an aviation consulting / engineering firm in order to implement the identified projects. Since these will be 90% funded through FAA grants, it is standard practice for airport sponsors to retain knowledgeable firms that understand the myriad grant assurances. To conduct business in Georgia by the Department of Transportation (GDOT)-Aviation Division, these firms also play an integral part in meeting additional state grant issues.

**Community Issues/Concerns:** The Department is not aware of any community issues / concerns.

**Department Issues/Concerns:** If this request is not approved, the County will not have access to consulting and engineering services to provide and implement the Capital Improvement Program for the Fulton County Airport-Brown Field.

### **History of BOC Agenda Item:**

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Award Amount	17-0813	10/04/2017	\$376,760.00
Increase Spending Authority No. 1	18-0430	06/20/2018	\$622,820.00
Renewal No. 1	18-0748	10/17/2018	\$999,580.00
Renewal No. 2			\$999,580.00
Total revised amount			\$2,998,740.00

Contract & Compliance Information (Provide Contractor and Subcontractor details.)

**Contract Value:** \$999.580.00

Prime Vendor: Michael Baker International

Prime Status: Non-Minority
Location: Norcross, GA
County: Gwinnett County

**Prime Value**: \$830,679.00 or 83.10%

Subcontractor: Key Engineering

Subcontractor Status: DBE

Location:Decatur, GACounty:DeKalb CountyContract Value:\$54,840.00 or 5.49%

Subcontractor: Goode Van Slyke Architecture

Subcontractor Status: DBE

**Location:** Atlanta, GA **County:** Fulton County

**Contract Value:** \$33,630.00 or 3.36%

Subcontractor: Long Engineering, Inc.

Subcontractor Status: White Female Business Enterprise - Certified

**Location:** Atlanta, GA **County:** Fulton County

#### Continued

**Contract Value:** \$26,900.00 or 2.69%

Subcontractor: Corporate Environmental Risk Management, LLC

**Subcontractor Status: DBE** 

**Location:** Tucker, GA **County:** DeKalb County

**Contract Value:** \$27,556.00 or 2.76%

Subcontractor: Wilmer Engineering, Inc.

Subcontractor Status: White Female Business Enterprise - Certified

**Location:** Atlanta, GA **County:** Fulton County

**Contract Value:** \$25,975.00 or 2.60%

Total Contract Value: \$999,580.00 or 100.00% DBE Contract Value: \$116,026.00 or 11.61%

.

## # 19-1004

Solicitation Information	NON-MFBE	MBE	FBE	TOTAL
No. Bid Notices Sent:				
No. Bids Received:				

<b>Total Contract Value</b>	\$999,580.00 or 100.00%.
Total DBE Values	\$116,026.00 or 11.61%DBE Firms
<b>Total Prime Value</b>	\$830,679.00 or 83.10%

**Fiscal Impact / Funding Source** 

(Include projected cost, approved budget amount and account number,

source of funds, and any future funding requirements.)

200-540-5601-1160: Airport, Public Works, Professional Services - \$999,580.00

**Exhibits Attached** 

(Provide copies of originals, number exhibits consecutively, and label all

exhibits in the upper right corner.)

Exhibit 1: Contract Renewal Agreement Exhibit 2: Contractor Performance Report Exhibit 3: Contract Renewal Evaluation Form

**Source of Additional Information** (Type Name, Title, Agency and Phone)

Tim Beggerly, Airport Manager, Public Works 404-613-4203

Agency Director Approval		County Manager's
Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director	Phone (404) 612-5800	Approval
Signature	Date	

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

# Continued

Proc	urement			
	ct Attached:	Previous Contracts: .Yes		
.No	tion Number:		Staff Contact:	Contact Phone:
	2107440K-JD	Submitting Agency: . Public Works	. Tim Beggerly	. 404-613-4203
			Consulting and Engineeri	
Descrip				ing dervices.
		FINANCI	AL SUMMARY	
	ontract Value:		DBE Participation:	
_	al Approved Amo	·	Amount: .	%: .
	us Adjustments:	\$1,622,400.00		%: .
This R	equest:	\$999,580.00	Amount: . <b>\$116,026.00</b> c	or 11.61% (DBE) .
TOTAL	<u>.:</u>	\$2,998,740.00	Amount: .	%: .
Grant I	nformation Sum	nmary:		
Amour	nt Requested:		☐ Cash	
Match	Required:		☐ In-Kind	
Start D	ate:		☐ Approval to A	ward
End Da	ate:		☐ Apply & Acce	pt
Match	Account \$:			
Funding	g Line 1:	Funding Line 2:	Funding Line 3:	Funding Line 4:
200-540	)-5601-1160			
(pending	g approval			
2020 bu	ıdget)			
		KEY CON	TRACT TERMS	
Start Da	ate:	End Date:		
1/1/202	0	12/31/2020		
Cost A	djustment:	Renewal/Extension To	erms:	
Click he	ere to enter	Two renewal options re	emain	
text.				
		ROUTING	& APPROVALS	
		(Do not e	edit below this line)	
X	Originating Dep	partment:	Clark, David	Date: 9/27/2019
Χ	County Attorne		Stewart, Denval	Date: 10/25/2019
X X X		ntract Compliance:	Strong-Whitaker, Fe	
Χ	<u> </u>	t Analyst/Grants Admin:	<u> </u>	Date: 10/1/2019
	Grants Manage			Date: .
Χ	County Manage		Gillespie, Alana	Date: 10/28/2019



#### **DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

#### **CONTRACT RENEWAL AGREEMENT**

**DEPARTMENT: Public Works** 

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 17RFP107440K-JD

BID/RFP# TITLE: Professional Services for Airport Consulting and Engineering Services

**ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE:** 10/4/2017

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: January 1, 2020 THROUGH December 31, 2020

RENEWAL OPTION #: 2

**NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 4** 

**RENEWAL AMOUNT:** \$999,580.00

**COMPANY'S NAME:** Michael Baker International, Inc.

ADDRESS: 420 Technology Parkway, Suite 150

**CITY:** Norcross

STATE: GA

**ZIP:** 30092

This Renewal Agreement No 2 was approved by the Fulton County Board of

Commissioners on BOC DATE: BOC NUMBER:

**SIGNATURES: SEE NEXT PAGE** 

# SIGNATURES:

NOTARY PUBLIC:
_ COUNTY:
_ COUNTY:
_ COUNTY:
DATE:
SIONERS
DATE:
L OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED BID/RFF
DATE
ITEM#:RM:

## **DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

# CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

id Number Publication Publication Publication Publication Publication Profession Profess	effective and/or efficeustomer dissatisfa Achieves contract refective and/or efficient and/or efficient; dela adjustments; emplointervention; custom Achieves contract references contract references contract references contract references and/or efficient; dela are highly competer	Il, Inc. requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the training and capable; custome requirements 80% of the training are excusable and/or expess are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the training and capable and sating and capable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions actions are e	ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs isfactorily providing service without
In the state of th	P107440K-JD  ssional Services - Engine Baker International Achieves contract reffective and/or efficustomer dissatisfal Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela are highly competer	neering  II, Inc.  equirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay oction.  equirements 70% of the transport of the transp	PO Date  3/11/2019  2% of the time, not responsive, incompetence, high degree of time. Marginally responsive, ificant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied.  Time; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs tisfactorily providing service without the time. Usually responsive; effective
Department  Publication  Profes  Service Commodity  Profes  Contractor  = Unsatisfactory  = Poor  = Satisfactory  = Good	P107440K-JD  ssional Services - Engine Baker International Achieves contract reffective and/or efficustomer dissatisfal Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela are highly competer	Il, Inc. requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the training and capable; custome requirements 80% of the training are excusable and/or expess are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the training and capable and sating and capable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions actions are e	9% of the time, not responsive, incompetence, high degree of time. Marginally responsive, ificant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs tisfactorily providing service without the ime. Usually responsive; effective
and Number  17RFF Service Commodity Profes Contractor  = Unsatisfactory  = Poor  = Satisfactory  = Good	P107440K-JD  ssional Services - Engine Baker International Achieves contract reffective and/or efficustomer dissatisfal Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela are highly competer	Il, Inc. requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the training and capable; custome requirements 80% of the training are excusable and/or expess are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the training and capable and sating and capable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions actions are e	ime. Marginally responsive, ifficant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs isfactorily providing service without. ime. Usually responsive; effective
id Number  17RFF ervice Commodity Profes contractor  = Unsatisfactory  = Poor  = Satisfactory  = Good	P107440K-JD  ssional Services - Engine Baker International Achieves contract reffective and/or efficustomer dissatisfal Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela are highly competer	Il, Inc. requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the training and capable; custome requirements 80% of the training are excusable and/or expess are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the training and capable and sating and capable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions actions are e	ime. Marginally responsive, ifficant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs isfactorily providing service without. ime. Usually responsive; effective
ervice Commodity  Profes  Contractor  Micha  = Unsatisfactory  = Poor  = Satisfactory  = Good	ssional Services - Engine Baker International Achieves contract reffective and/or efficustomer dissatisfa Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; emplointervention; custom Achieves contract reflectives contract reffectives contract reflectives contract reflectives contract refl	Il, Inc. requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the training and capable; custome requirements 80% of the training are excusable and/or expess are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the training and capable and sating and capable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions actions are e	ime. Marginally responsive, ifficant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs isfactorily providing service without. ime. Usually responsive; effective
entractor  = Unsatisfactory  = Poor  = Satisfactory  = Good	Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentations and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentation; custom Achieves contract reflective and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employentation; custom Achieves contract reflectives contract reflectives contract reflectives contract reflection; dela are highly competer	Il, Inc. requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the training and capable; custome requirements 80% of the training are excusable and/or expess are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the training and capable and sating and capable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and sating actions and capable and sating actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions are excusable and actions are excusable actions actions are e	ime. Marginally responsive, ifficant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs isfactorily providing service without. ime. Usually responsive; effective
<ul><li>= Unsatisfactory</li><li>= Poor</li><li>= Satisfactory</li><li>= Good</li></ul>	Achieves contract reffective and/or efficeustomer dissatisfa Achieves contract reffective and/or efficient and/or efficient; dela adjustments; employintervention; custom Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela and/or efficient; dela are highly competer	requirements less than 50 cient, unacceptable delay action. requirements 70% of the tocient; delays require sign ginally capable; custome requirements 80% of the tocays are excusable and/or anyees are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the tocay and so the tocay and so the tocay and sat mers indicate satisfaction requirements 90% of the tocay and so the tocay and tocay and so the toc	ime. Marginally responsive, ifficant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs isfactorily providing service without. ime. Usually responsive; effective
= Satisfactory = Good	effective and/or efficient key employees many Achieves contract read/or efficient; dela adjustments; emplointervention; custon Achieves contract reand/or efficient; dela are highly competer	cient; delays require sign ginally capable; custome ginally capable; custome equirements 80% of the tays are excusable and/or eyees are capable and sat mers indicate satisfaction equirements 90% of the tays in the satisfaction	ificant adjustments to programs; rs somewhat satisfied. ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs tisfactorily providing service without. ime. Usually responsive; effective
	Achieves contract re and/or efficient; dela adjustments; emplo intervention; custon Achieves contract re and/or efficient; dela are highly competer	equirements 80% of the tages are excusable and/or excusable and sate of the tages are capable and sate of the tages are satisfaction equirements 90% of the tages.	ime; generally responsive, effective results in minor programs tisfactorily providing service without t. ime. Usually responsive; effective
= Good = Excellent	Achieves contract re and/or efficient; dela are highly competer	equirements 90% of the t	ime. Usually responsive; effective
= Excellent	satisfied.		idance; customers are highly
	highly efficient and/	equirements 100% of the or effective; no delays; ke ections; customers expec	time. Immediately responsive; ey employees are experts and tations are exceeded.
Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification Complianc	Toolphical Excellence Person		
Comments:		ts/Administration - Personnel Quali	ncation)
Personnel always provide excellent quality of	f goods and services.		
) 2			
3			
0 4			
Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Pe	er Contract - Response Time (ner	agreement, if applicable) - Respons	siveness to Direction/Change - On Time
impletion Per Contract)	,	S	
On-time.			

. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

O 0 Comments:

 $\bigcirc$  3

 $\bigcirc$  1

# 19-1004		
<ul><li>4</li></ul>		
Customer Satisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - Met Specification of Comments:  100% satisfaction.	tion - Within Budget - Proper Invoicing - No Su	bstitutions)
○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3		
Contractors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appropriate - Eff.  Comments:	ective Supervision/Management - Available as	Needed)
Services always managed in a professional manner.		£
e) 4  verall Performance Rating:  4.0		
Jould you select/recommend this vendor again? Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No)  Yes No	Rating completed by: timothy	beggerly
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature	Date
Dovid Clerk	Ut -	9/10/2019

# Contract Renewal Evaluation Form

Date:	8/29/2019
Department:	Public Works
Contract Number:	17RFP107440K-JD
Contract Title:	Professional Services for Airport Consulting and Engineering Services

#### Instructions:

It is extremely important that every contract be rigidly scrutinized to determine if the contract provides the County with value. Each renewal shall be reviewed and answers provided to determine whether services should be maintained, services/scope reduced, services brought in-house or if the contract should be terminated. Please submit a completed copy of this form with all renewal requests.

1. Describe what efforts were made to reduce the scope and cost of this contract.

Each of the project prices, on an individual basis, are negotiated with the consultants to ensure the best pricing and they also reviewed by the GDOT to ensure pricing is within industry standards.

2. Describe the analysis you made to determine if the current prices for this good or service is reflective of the current market. Check all applicable statements and provide documentation:

☐ Internet search of pricing for sa	me product or service:	
	Date of search:	
	Price found:	
	Different features / Conditions:	
Percent difference between	internet price and renewal price:	

#### **Explanation / Notes:**

Each of the project prices, on an individual basis, are negotiated with the consultants to ensure the best pricing and they also reviewed by the GDOT to ensure pricing is within industry standards.

	Date contacted:		
	Jurisdiction Name / Contact name:		
	Date of last purchase:		
	Price paid:		
	Inflation rate:		
	Adjusted price:		
	Percent difference between past purchase price and renewal price:		
	Are they aware of any new vendors?	□ Yes	□ No
	Are they aware of a reduction in pricing in this industry?	□ Yes	□ No
	How does pricing compare to Fulton County's award contract?		
[	Explanation / Notes:   Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcome) short for the AMS system) sport for	,	for provious fis
. V	□ Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outconducted	,	for previous fis
. V	☐ Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcomes	,	for previous fis
. <b>V</b>	□ Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outconducted	,	for previous fis
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	□ Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcomes.)  What was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) spent for year?  \$365,028.60  Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation?	or this contract	
. <b>V</b>	□ Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcomes what was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) spent for year?  \$365,028.60  Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index)	or this contract	x No
V S S	Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcomes what was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) spent for year?  \$365,028.60  Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index)  Was it part of the initial contract?	or this contract	x No
V V E vate	Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcomes what was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) spent for year?  \$365,028.60  Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index)  Was it part of the initial contract?	or this contract	x No
[ V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the outcomes what was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) spent for year?  \$365,028.60  Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index)  Was it part of the initial contract?  For of last purchase:	or this contract	x No

5.	Is this a seasonal item or service?	☐ Yes	X No
6.	i. Has an analysis been conducted to determine if this service can be performed in-house? ☐ Yes X No ☐ If yes, attach the analysis.		
7.	What would be the impact on your department if this contract was not approved?		
	Airport design and engineering would not be done and airport operations and development would be negatively impacted possibly resulting in limited or no use of the airport. Airport development would be stopped and FAA and GDOT grant funding would be stopped.		
	Tim Beggerly		8/29/2019
	Prepared by		Date
	Me	- r	9/20/19
	Department Head		´ Date