

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
1/1/2020	3/31/2020	7/1/2019	6/30/2020
PO Number			PO Date
540 198C112052K-DB(D)			7/1/2019
Department	Public Works		
Bid Number	18ITB112052K-DB		
Service Commodity	CONSTRUCTION		
Contractor	Wade Coots		

0 = Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied.
4 = Excellent	Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Project Development (-Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)

Comments:

- ☐ 0
☐ 1
☐ 2
☒ 3
☐ 4

Wade Coots is an efficient company that achieves 95% of their contract requirements

2. Design (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Reliability – Responsiveness to Direction/Change – On Time Completion – Liquidated Damages)

Comments:

- ☐ 0
☐ 1
☐ 2
☐ 3
☒ 4

Wade Coots employees are reliable and timely

3. Award - Proposal Development (-Met Timeless/Due Dates - Reasonable/Cooperative - Flexible/Motivated - Prompt Problem Notification)

Comments:

- ☐ 0
☐ 1
☐ 2
☒ 3
☐ 4

The key Employees are competent and need little direction

4. Construction (-Mobilization Timely -- Were Milestones Met -- Met/Exceeded Specifications -- Within Budget Performance -- Proper Invoicing -- Quality of Work -- Responsive to Owner)

- ☐ 0
☐ 1
☐ 2
☒ 3
☐ 4

Comments:

The quality of their work is good and they respond well to the owner

5. Closeout Action and Contractors Key Personnel (-Effective Management - Credentials/Experience - Ability to Accomplish Mission - Conduct)

- ☐ 0
☐ 1
☐ 2
☒ 3
☐ 4

Comments:

all punch list items are taken care of, close out is great

Overall Performance Rating:

3.2

Would you select/recommend this vendor again?
(Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No)

☒ Yes ☐ No

Rating completed by:

sam.tamakloe

Department Head Name

Department Head Signature

Date

D. Clark

[Signature]

4/6/2020

Roy O. Barnes Jr

4-13-20