

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End January 1, 2022 December 31, 2022 January 1, 2023 March 31, 2023 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date Department Public Works Bid Number Service Commodity 19ITB120723A-FB SEWER LINE CHEMICAL ROOT CONTROL Contractor **Dukes Root Control** Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification Crews did excellent work as specifications required 1 2 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per 2. Timeliness of Performance agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Directions/ Change - On Time Completion Per Contract) Response times and coordination was excellent. 1 2 3

3. Business Relations (Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications) O 0 1 O 2 O 3 O 4 4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) O Quality work, invoices submitted correctly and on time.
O 1 O 2 O 3 O 4 4. Customer Satisfaction
4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) 0 Quality work, invoices submitted correctly and on time. 1 0 2 0 3
4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) O Quality work, invoices submitted correctly and on time.
4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) O Quality work, invoices submitted correctly and on time.
4. Customer Satisfaction Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) 0 Quality work, invoices submitted correctly and on time. 1 0 2 0 3
4. Customer Satisfaction Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) 0 Quality work, invoices submitted correctly and on time. 1 O 2 O 3
1 O 2 O 3
O 2 O 3
O 3
0 +
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective
Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
O 0 Very knowledgeable and expert at their profession.
○ 3 ○ 4
<u> </u>
Overall Performance Rating 4.00 Date 10/25/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? Yes No
Rating completed by: Glenn Smith
Department Head Name: David Clark
Department Head Signature
Nethous and setting the officers.
After completing the form:
Submit to Purchasing
Print a copy for your records
Save the form
Submit