



**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

CONSTRUCTION SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
01/01/2022	03/31/2022	8/24/2020	7/10/2024
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
540 17RFP031617K-DJ(2B)		8/25/2020	
Department			

PUBLIC WORKS

Bid Number	Service Commodity
	Big Creek WRF Expansion Phase 2B
Contractor	

Archer Western/ Brown & Caldwell JV

Performance Rating

0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Project Development

(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence –
Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)

☐	0	Comments: All design efforts and scheduling have been completed to the highest quality and submitted to Fulton County for review and comments. They have sufficient staff to properly supervise, build, inspect, submit and approve submittal, schedule and order equipment in a timely fashion, and keep the project on schedule and under projected cost.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

2. Design

(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Reliability
- Responsiveness to Directions/Change
– On Time Completion Per Contract - Liquidated Damages)

☐	0	Comments: Archer Western and Brown & Caldwell are staying within the scheduled milestones. They are reliable and responsive to our requests and direction. They are presently slightly ahead of schedule on most of the different buildings they are working on.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

3. Award - Proposal Development		(Timeless/Due Duties - Reasonable/Cooperative - Flexible/Motivated)
☐	0	Comments:Archer Western and Brown and Caldwell are very reasonable, cooperative, flexibly, and motivated to do a good job on this project. They are actively concerned that they stay on schedule to perform the labor and secure the equipment that will ultimately be withing the different buildings. They are slightly ahead of schedule on some of the structural portions of the buildings on this site.
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
4. Constructions		(Mobilization Timely - Were Milestones Met - Met/Exceeded Specification - Within Budget Performance - Proper Invoicing - Quality of Work Responsive to Owner)
☐	0	Comments:The working being performed by the contractor and the design team is of good quality. As the Construction Management team that assists me states they are one of the better companies they have ever worked with and are scoring a 90% or better. They are meeting and exceeding or expectations and have so far met our milestones. We are with projected budget and they are invoicing us in a very understandable format. Any comment
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credential/Experience Appropriate- Effective Supervision/Management - Available as Needed)
☐	0	Comments:I have been very impressed with the credentials of the staff and the experience this team has on building this Wastewater Facility. They have a very good level of management necessary to properly direct their workers and the subcontractors they manage. They also seem to be able to work with their suppliers to obtain equipment and materials that meet our specifications and hopefully get delivered on time. Since equipment is just starting
☐	1	
☐	2	
☐	3	
☒	4	

Overall Performance Rating	4.00	Date	4/4/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	☒ Yes	☐ No	
Rating completed by:	Walter Rekuc		
Department Head Name:	David Clark		
Department Head Signature			

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save