## Performance Evaluation Details

ID E13

Project Locks, Doors & Hardware Countywide

Project Number21ITB130533C-GSSupplierDH Pace Company, Inc.

Supplier Project Contact Jordan Fisher (preferred language: English)

Performance ProgramGoods and Commodity ServicesEvaluation Period04/01/2025 to 06/30/2025

Effective Date 07/01/2025

Evaluation Type Formal
Interview Date Not Specified
Expectations Meeting Date Not Specified
Status Completed

 Publication Date
 07/01/2025 07:47 AM EDT

 Completion Date
 07/01/2025 07:47 AM EDT

Evaluation Score 76

## **Related Documents**

There are no documents associated with this Performance Evaluation

## **OVERALL RATING GUIDE - GOODS AND COMMODITY SERVICES**

**Evaluation Score Range** Outstanding = 90-100% Excellent = 80-89% Satisfactory = 70-79% Needs Improvement = 50-69%

Unsatisfactory = -50%

**QUALITY OF PRODUCT OR SERVICE** 

17/20

Rating

Excellent: There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, and the Contractor

has met the contract requirements.

Comments Vendor provided goods and services of very good quality. Supplies complied with

bid or design specifications and there has been no issues related to quality or

goods or non-compliance with specifications

**TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE** 

14/20

Rating

Satisfactory: There are no, or minimal, delays that impact achievement of

Vendor has made improvements in completing projects in time. There were no Comments

delays in executing projects. Their operations did not

cause serious impact on the operation of Fulton County's services

**BUSINESS RELATIONS** 17/20

Rating

Excellent: Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues

exceeds Government expectation.

Comments Vendor maintains very good relations with Fulton County through its Account

Manager, who is generally very responsive and inclined to help. Continued

assistance from and

coordination with the Accounts Manager and Fulton County lock shop is expected

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION 14/20

Rating

Satisfactory: Contractor representative is reasonably responsive to User

Department request for information and professional.

Comments Contractor's staff and representative made reasonably good response to contacts

through email and phones. Vendor satisfactorily answered questions and requests for information

**COST CONTROL** 14/20

Rating

Satisfactory: Minimal contract pricing issues, cost discrepancies identified by

User Department that require explanation, cost/price issues resolved in timely

Comments Vendor used bid prices for invoicing. Invoices are accurate and timely. Vendor

also helps to chase payments by submitting periodic statement of invoices

**GENERAL COMMENTS** 

Comments Not Specified