	DEPARTMENT OF PURCHAS	SING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE	
		ERFORMANCE REPORT DNAL SERVICES	
	PROFESSION	ONAL SERVICES	
Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
PO Number			PO Date
1 O Number			1 o bate
Department			
Bid Number			
Service Commodity			
Contractor			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract I	requirements less than 50% of	of the time, not responsive,
	effective and/or effi customer dissatisfa	requirements less than 50% of cient, unacceptable delay, in action.	competence, high degree of
1 = Poor	Achieves contract i effective and/or effi	requirements 70% of the time cient; delays require signific	e. Marginally responsive, ant adjustments to programs;
2 = Satisfactory	key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied. Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without		
3 = Good	intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly		
4 = Excellent	satisfied. Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.		
1. Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification	Compliance - Technical Excellence - Repo	rts/Administration - Personnel Qualificat	ion)
0 Comments:			
1			
3			
4			
2. Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milesto Completion Per Contract)	nes Met Per Contract - Response Time (pe	er agreement, if applicable) - Responsive	ness to Direction/Change - On Time
Comments:			
1			
2			
3			
3. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to I	nquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)		
Comments:			
0			
2			
3			
4			
4. Customer Satisfaction (-Met User Quality Comments:	Expectations - Met Specification - Within E	Budget - Proper Invoicing - No Substitutio	ns)
0			
2			
3			

	nce Appropriate - Effective Supervision/Management - Available as N	eeded)
Comments:		
1		
2		
3		
4		
Overall Performance Rating:		
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? (Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No)	Rating completed by:	
○ Yes ○ No		
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature	Date