| Fulton County Board of Commissioners Agenda Item Summary | BOC Mee 9/16/2020 | # 20-06: eting Date |
|---|--|---|
| Requesting Agency Juvenile Court | Commiss All Districts | sion Districts Affected |
| Requested Action (Identify appropriate Action or Motion, purpose | e, cost, timeframe, e | tc.) |
| Request approval of recommended proposal - Fulton Cour CJC, Accountability Courts Treatment Modalities with DI \$46,465.50 to provide Accountability Courts Treatment through June 30, 2021, with two (2) renewal options. T funded. | nty Juvenile Cou VAS, Inc. (Atlan t Modalities upo his spending reo | rt, RFP# 20RFP125479A- ta, GA) in the amount of on execution of contract quest is completely grant |
| Requirement for Board Action (<i>Cite specific Board policy, stat</i> n accordance with Purchasing Code Section 102-374 and shall be forwarded to the Board of Commissioners for appr | 102-375, all con | , |
| s this Item related to a Strategic Priority Area? (If yes, n | | area below) |
| Yes Justice and Safety | | |
| Is this a purchasing item? Yes | | |
| Summary & Background (First sentence includes Agency of the action that gives an over | | |
| Scope of Work: The Fulton County Juvenile Court (FCJC) seeks to further restore families through the continued provision of account modalities to children and families involved in juvenile drug court. These support services include family/group reintegr individual assessments and evaluations. The models utilize Intervention for Substance Abuse (CBI/SA), Trauma Recov (TREM), Thinking for a Change (T4C) and Individualized Tr | ability courts the court and family ration and prever d include: Cogn very and Empow | erapeutic treatment / dependency treatment ntion services, as well as itive Behavioral erment Model |
| Community Impact: Countywide impact includes the provision of therapeutic tre children and families in an effective manner that leads to th supervision conditions; family reunification; and the reducti rates by providing high quality and high fidelity service deliv | ne successful con on of juvenile de | mpletion of court ordered |
| | • | |
| The Juvenile Court recommends contracts be awarded for | | and families. |
| The Juvenile Court recommends contracts be awarded for courts treatment services to court involved children and far | | and families. ion of accountability |
| Department Recommendation: The Juvenile Court recommends contracts be awarded for courts treatment services to court involved children and far <u>Agency Director Approval</u> Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director | | and families. |

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

20-0633

Project Implications: No future implications exist.

Community Issues/Concerns: No issues have been raised.

Department Issues/Concerns: The Department does not have concerns.

History of BOC Agenda Item: New Procurement

Contract & Compliance Information (Provide Contractor and Subcontractor details.)

NA

| Solicitation | NON-MFBE | MBE | FBE | TOTAL |
|--|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Information | | | | |
| No. Bid Notices Sent: | | | | |
| No. Bids Received: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Contract Value | | | | |
| Total M/FBE Values | • | | | |
| Total Prime Value | • | | | |
| | 1 | | | |
| Fiscal Impact / Funding | | | ost, approved bud d anv future fundii | dget amount and account number, |
| 461-405-FD20-1160: Gr 461-405-DC20-1160: Gr | | • | | |
| Exhibits Attached | | vide copies of c | | exhibits consecutively, and label all |
| Exhibit-1: Evaluation Committee Recommendation | | | | |
| Exhibit-2: Contractor Pe | rformance Report | | | |
| Exhibit-3: Tabulation Sh | eet | | | |
| Source of Additional Ir | formation (Type | e Name, Title, / | Agency and Phone | e) |
| Caren Cloud, Director, A | dministration and | Court Suppo | ort Services. (4 | 04) 613-4799 |
| | | | | , |

| Agency Director Approval | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|--|
| Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director | Phone 404-612-5800 | Approval | |
| Signature | Date | | |

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

20-0633

Continued

| Procurement | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Contract Attached: | Previous Contracts: | | |
| No | NO | 1 | |
| Solicitation Number: | Submitting Agency: | | Contact Phone: |
| 20RFP125479A-CJC | Juvenile Court | Reiko Ward | (404) 613-4455 |
| Description: Accounta | ability Courts Treatme | nt Modalities | |
| | FINANC | IAL SUMMARY | |
| Total Contract Value: | | MBE/FBE Participat | ion: |
| Original Approved Am | ount: . | Amount: . | %:. |
| Previous Adjustments: | | Amount: . | %:. |
| This Request: | | Amount: . | %:. |
| TOTAL: | | Amount: . | %:. |
| Grant Information Sur | nmary: | | |
| Amount Requested: | \$46,465.50 | Cash | |
| Match Required: | | In-Kind | |
| Start Date: | 7/1/2020 | Approval to | o Award |
| End Date: | 6/30/2021 | Apply & Ac | cept |
| Match Account \$: | | | |
| Funding Line 1: | Funding Line 2: | Funding Line 3: | Funding Line 4: |
| 461-405-FD20-1160 | 461-405-DC20-1160 | | |
| | KEY CON | ITRACT TERMS | |
| Start Date: | End Date: | | |
| Coot Adiustruoreti | Renewal/Extension T | | |
| Cost Adjustment: | | erms: | |
| | | & APPROVALS edit below this line) | |
| X Originating De | partment: | Cloud, Caren | Date: 8/26/2020 |
| X County Attorne | | Martinez, Dominic | Date: 9/9/2020 |
| X Purchasing/Co | ntract Compliance: | Strong-Whitaker, | Felicia Date: 9/9/2020 |
| | et Analyst/Grants Admin | : Ash, Angela | Date: 8/28/2020 |
| . Grants Manage | | _ | Date: . |
| . Oranto Manage | | • | |

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 6/30/2020 9/11/2019 9/11/2019 6/30/2020 PO Number PO Date 405 19SC120025A-CT1 Department **Juvenile Court Bid Number** 19CT120025A Service Commodity Evidence Based Treatment Services Contractor DIVAS, Inc Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatistaction. = Poor Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied. = Satisfactory Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective = Good and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied. = Excellent Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

. Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification)

| The vendor provides services in a highly afficient manner consistent with standards of service for accountability courts the apputing treatment | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | The vendor provides services in a highly efficient manner consistent with standards of service for accountability courts therapeutic treatment. | The vendor provides services in a highly efficient manner consistent with standards of service for accountability courts therapeutic treatment. |

. Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time completion Per Contract)

| 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |

. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

🔿 o Comments:

D 1

20-0633 uickly responds to any inquiries and exhibits willingness to resolve any concerns.

| 3 | |
|----------|--|
|) 4 | |
| | |
| | |
|) 0 | er Satisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - Met Specification - Within Budget - Proper Involcing - No Substitutions) Comments: |
|) 1 | The vendor has provided excellent treatment services for accountability courts participants, meeting the department's expectations. |
| 2 | |
| | |
|) 3 | |
| 4 | |
| Contract | tors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appropriate - Effective Supervision/Management - Available as Needed) |

| | Comments: Appropriate treatment credentials and licensures have been maintained, as reported by the provider. | | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------------|--|--|
| Vverall Performance Rating: 4.0 Vould you select/recommend this vendor again? Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No) • Yes No | Rating completed by: | reiko.wa | rd | | |
| Department Head Name | Department Head Signatur | <i>P</i> e | Date 8/7/2020 | | |



INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- **TO:**Felicia Strong-Whitaker, DirectorDepartment of Purchasing & Contract Compliance
- **FROM:** Evaluation Committee Recommendation Letter
- **DATE:** July 22, 2020

PROJECT: 20RFP1250479A-CJC, Accountability Courts Treatment Modalities

In accordance with the Purchasing Code, a duly appointed Evaluation Committee has reviewed the proposals submitted in response to the above-reference project on behalf of the Juvenile Court.

Two (2) qualified firms submitted proposals for evaluation and consideration for award of this project:

- 1. DIVAS, Incorporated
- 2. Focus7, LLC

After review, evaluation and consideration of all available information related to the requirements and evaluation criteria of the RFP, the Evaluation Committee has determined that the proposal submitted by DIVAS, Incorporated with a total score of 89.56, is the recommended vendor for the award of 20RFP1250479A-CJC, Accountability Courts Treatment Modalities.

The Evaluation Committee members attest that each member scored each proposal independently in accordance with the evaluation criteria set forth in the Request for Proposal and that their individual score is a part of the final scores in the attached Evaluation Matrix.

SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

<u>Yotanda Johnson</u> Yotanda Johnson, Director, Court and Family Services Juvenile Court Tiffany Barday Tiffany Barday Accountability Courts Administrator Juvenile Court

10mast

Tamara 30ftes, Juvenile Probation Officer

Fitzroy (larke

Fitzroy Clarke Juvenile Probation Officer Juvenile Court Evaluation Committee Recommendation Letter July 22, 2020 P a g e \mid **3**

| EVALUATION CRITERIA | WEIGHT | DIVAS, Incorporated | FOCUS7, Inc. |
|---|--------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Project Plan | | | |
| | 30 | 26.25 | 15 |
| Qualifications of Key Personnel | 25 | 23.44 | 7.81 |
| Relevant Project Experience/Past Performance | | | - |
| | 20 | 17.50 | 10 |
| Availability of Key Personnel | | | |
| | 10 | 9.38 | 3.75 |
| Service Disabled Veterans Preference | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Cost Proposal | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| TOTAL SCORE: | 100.00 | 89.57 | 36.56 |