

### Fulton County Board of Commissioners

# Agenda Item Summary

**BOC Meeting Date** 7/19/2017

**Requesting Agency** 

Police

**Commission Districts Affected** 5. 6.

**Requested Action** (Identify appropriate Action or Motion, purpose, cost, timeframe, etc.)

Request approval of lowest responsible bidder – Police Department, Bid# 17ITBC104141B-BR, Toughbook Laptops in the amount of \$103,293.36 with Southern Computer Warehouse (Marietta, Ga) for the purchase of 51 Toughbook laptops. This purchase 100% grant funded (balance of 2014 JAG Grant and 2015 JAG Grant. This is a one-time procurement.

Requirement for Board Action (Cite specific Board policy, statute or code requirement)

In accordance with Purchasing Code Section 102-373, all competitive sealed bids of more than \$49,999.99 shall be forwarded to the Board of Commissioners for approval.

Is this Item related to a Strategic Priority Area? (If yes, note strategic priority area below)

Yes All People are safe

#### Is this a purchasing item?

Yes

**Summary & Background** 

(First sentence includes Agency recommendation. Provide an executive summary of the action that gives an overview of the relevant details for the item.)

<u>Scope of Work:</u> This request is for the purchase of 51 Toughbook laptops from Southern Computer Warehouse for the Police Department. The two apparent low bidders were deemed non-responsive because they did not meet the key components that were detailed in the bid specifications:

<u>Community Impact:</u> Procurement will provide the department with an inventory of reliable Toughbook laptops for use in calls for service.

**Department Recommendation:** Recommend approval of the purchase.

**Project Implications**: There are no Project implications.

**Community Issues/Concerns:** There are no Community Issues/Concerns.

**Department Issues/Concerns:** There are no Department Issues/Concerns.

**<u>History of BOC Agenda Item</u>**: No, this is a new procurement.

Agency Director Approval	Agency Director Approval County Manager	
Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director	Phone (404) 612-5800	Approval
Signature	Date	

## # 17-0556

Continued		
Contract & Compliance Information	(Provide Contractor and Subcontractor details.)	

				$\pi$ .	-000
Solicitation	NON-MFBE	MBE	FBE	TOTAL	
Information	67	47	17	131	
No. Bid Notices Sent:					
No. Bids Received:					
	8	0	0	8	

<b>Total Contract Value</b>	Click here to enter text.
Total M/FBE Values	Click here to enter text.
<b>Total Prime Value</b>	Click here to enter text.

**Fiscal Impact / Funding Source** 

(Include projected cost, approved budget amount and account number, source of funds, and any future funding requirements.)

461-320-JA14-1408, Grants, Justice Assistance Grant FY14, Equipment Non-Capitalizable,

\$21,657.09

461-320-JA15-1408, Grants, Justice Assistance Grant FY15, Equipment Non-Capitalizable

\$81,636.27

**Exhibits Attached** 

(Provide copies of originals, number exhibits consecutively, and label all

exhibits in the upper right corner.)

Exhibit 1: Bid Tabulation Sheet

Exhibit 2: Contractors Performance Report

**Source of Additional Information** (Type Name, Title, Agency and Phone)

Gary D. Stiles, Chief of Police, Police Department, (404) 613-5711

Agency Director Approval	Agency Director Approval County Mar	
Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director	Phone (404) 612-5800	Approval
Signature	Date	

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

### Continued

Procurement					
Contract Attached: Previous Contracts: No No					
	ation Number:	Submitting Agency:	Staff Contact:	Contact Phone:	
17ITBC	104141B-BR	Police Department	Gary D. Stiles	404-613-5711	
Descrip	otion: Toughboo	ok Laptops	-		
		FINANCI	IAL SUMMARY		
Total C	ontract Value:		MBE/FBE Participation	n:	
Origina	al Approved Amo	ount: .	Amount: .	%:.	
Previo	us Adjustments:		Amount: .	%:.	
This R	equest:	\$103,293.36	Amount: .	%:.	
TOTAI		\$103,293.26	Amount: .	%: .	
Grant I	nformation Sun	nmary:			
Amour	nt Requested:	\$103,293.36	☐ Cash		
Match	Required:		☐ In-Kind		
Start D	Date:	01/01/2016	Approval to A	ward	
End Da	ate:	12/31/2017	Apply & Acce	ept	
Match	Account \$:				
Fundin	g Line 1:	Funding Line 2:	Funding Line 3:	Funding Line 4:	
461-320	O-JA15-1408,	461-320-JA14-1408,			
Grants,	Justice	Grants, Justice			
Assista	nce Grant	Assistance Grant			
FY15, E	Equipment	FY14, Equipment			
Non-Ca	pitalizable,	Non-Capitalizable,			
\$81,636	6.27	\$21,657.09			
	KEY CONTRACT TERMS				
Start D	ate:	End Date:			
Effectiv		One-time procurement			
Approva	-	one and production			
	djustment:	Renewal/Extension T	erms <sup>.</sup>		
	.,		•••••		
ROUTING & APPROVALS (Do not edit below this line)					
X	Originating Dep	partment:	Stiles, Gary	Date: 6/16/2017	
X	County Attorne		Palmer, Ashley	Date: 7/13/2017	
Χ		ntract Compliance:	Strong-Whitaker, Fe		
X		t Analyst/Grants Admin:		Date: 6/23/2017	
	Grants Manage			Date: .	
X County Manager:		Anderson, Dick	Date: 7/13/2017		



Fulton County Public Bid Opening Page: 1

Bid: RFQ 320 17ITBC104141B-BR

Close Date/Time: 2017-02-20 11:00:00

Commodity Gro Vendor Code	up Code Description Legal Name	Bid Amount
Default	20454 Microcomputers, Notebook	handheld, Laptop, &
VS0000058802	Dell Marketing LP	\$74,023.04
VS0000020768	HOWARD INDUSTRIES INC	\$96,512.00
VS0000061895	iCare Technology Geeks	\$127,920.00
VC0000112214	ZONES INC.	\$115,431.16
VS0000062041	ProLogic ITS, LLC	\$105,816.88
VS0000062528	Diltex Inc	\$105,998.88
VS0000007531	SOUTHERN COMPUTER WAREHOUSE	\$105,318.72
VS0000062412	Avisent Technologies	\$114,308.48

	DEPARTMENT OF P	URCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE	
	CONTRAC	TORS PERFORMANCE REPORT OTHER SERVICES	
Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
9/21/2015	12/31/2015	9/21/2015	12/31/2015
PO Number	//	-,,	PO Date
PO22009231500	1		9/21/2015
Department			POLICI
Bid Number			
Service Commodity	TOUGHBOOK LAPTOP CO	MPUTERS	
Contractor	SOUTHERN COMPUTER W	AREHOUS	
) = Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirements less incompetence, high degree of custor	than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and mer dissatisfaction.	/or efficient, unacceptable delay,
1 = Poor	Achieves contract requirements 70%	of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/o	
2 = Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirements 80%	yees marginally capable; customers somewhat sati of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or	efficient; delays are excusable and/or
	results in minor programs adjustmen customers indicate satisfaction.	nts; employees are capable and satisfactorily provid	ling service without intervention;
= Good		of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or a re highly competent and seldom require guidance; of	
1 = Excellent	Achieves contract requirements 1009	% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly effici- ninimal directions; customers expectations are exce	ent and/or effective; no delays; key
L Quality of Goods/Service		Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Q	
Comments:			
O 1 The laptops we	ere received to the specifications requested.		
O 2			
O 3			
● 4			
2.	ATTER TOURS IN SECURIOR SERVICE	The State of the Control of the Cont	
Timeliness of Performance Time Completion Per Conti		sponse Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Respon	nsiveness to Direction/Change - On
O Comments:	and a said the second antique in this case was	The legions were received in a limely manner	
O 1	ort period, the overall rating in this area was	good. The laptops were received in a timely manner,	
O 2			
<b>●</b> 3			
04			
	sponsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Probl	em Notifications)	
Comments:	to any time that I am awars of where we have	I any problems in which we needed to leavies with the	endor about a problem
O 0 Comments: We did not have	ve any time that I am aware of where we had	d any problems in which we needed to inquire with the v	endor about a problem,
Comments: We did not have	ve any time that I am aware of where we had	d any problems in which we needed to inquire with the v	endor about a problem,
O 0 Comments: We did not have O 1	ve any time that I am aware of where we had	I any problems in which we needed to inquire with the v	endor about a problem.
Comments: We did not have	ve any time that I am aware of where we had	I any problems in which we needed to inquire with the v	endor about a problem,

1)556 The overall satisfaction was good		
The overall satisfaction was good.		
<ul><li>● 3</li><li>○ 4</li></ul>		
5. Contractors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience	Appropriate - Effective Supervision/Management - Avail	lable as Needed)
	he vendor submitted their BID proposal to the specification	s requested, and the Japtops that we received wer
Overall Performance Rating:	3.4	
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? (Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No)  Yes No	Rating completed by:	JohnA.Cross
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature	Date
Darryl Halbert	Dany Walker	6/5/2017
7		