Compensation Structure Options: Key Classifications and Elected Officials

Reconsideration

Continued from September 15, 2021, October 6, 2021, November 17, 2021

Kenneth L. Hermon, Jr., SPHR, SCP-IPMAHR Chief HR Officer March 16, 2022

> Stacey Jones, SPHR Deputy Chief HR Officer

Recap on Case for Action



Impact

- ☐ Public Employer of Choice
- ☐ Increase morale and engagement
- **☐** More competitive
- ☐ Strategic Proactive approach vs Reactive, and most importantly
- ☐ Minimize impact to...



Key Classification

Recap

- HR identify classification facing recruitment and retention challenges
- Classifications were pivotal to County Operations
- 3rd party research showed that salaries were below public sector averages
- Implement over 2 years

Concern

- Limited by \$31.9M in 2022 budget
- Key classifications and cost have doubled as conditions worsen
 - Avg. vacancy rates of 31% and as high as 100% in individual roles

Recommendation

- Move forward with a full implementation of a portion of key classifications
- Revaluate the ability to implement the balance at mid year review

	Original	Expanded	Recommendation
# of Classifications	70	159	87
# of Employees	267	698	401
Annual Salary/Fringe	\$5.2M	\$12.6M	\$6.5M

			Annual
Department	# Employees	Sal	ary + Fringe
COUNTY ATTORNEY	21	\$	273,455
DREAM	42	\$	167,519
INFO TECH	47	\$	932,122
MED EXAM	15	\$	80,252
PUB DEFEND	57	\$	2,695,767
PUBLIC WORKS	10	\$	67,908
PURCHASING	10	\$	233,042
ST CT-GEN	5	\$	66,763
SUP CT-GEN	23	\$	295,595
TAX ASSESS	57	\$	470,012
TAX COMM	114	\$	1,205,630
GRAND TOTAL	401	\$	6,488,067

Aggressive Recruitment

Completed

- Several Search Firms on contract
- HR hiring internal staff
- Listed all Information Technology and Legal vacancies

Immediate Action items

- \$500,000 to fund new dedicated recruiting team
 - 1 Recruitment Manager & 4 Recruiting consultants
- \$300,000 to fund shift from passive to more active recruitments
 - \$150,000 LinkedIn Recruiter tool
 - \$150,000 direct social media marketing/branding as Public Employer of choice
 - Advertise via social media tools like Facebook
- \$700,000 to create higher than minimum (HTM) pool
- Review, revamp and perform risk analysis of Civil Service Act, HR Rules and Financial practices
- Change approach to recruitment/hiring
 - Alternative sourcing
 - minimum qualifications
 - Hiring practices

Elected Officials

Recap

- Board wanted an alternative to the waterfall structure for Elected officials
- Board approved the percentile and total compensation structure

Total Compensation	Elected/Appointed Official	Recommended
\$200,902	Superior Court Judges*, DA*, Public Defender, Solicitor	Public Defender, Solicitor
\$193,975	State Court Judges*, Probate Judge*, Chief Magistrate*	
\$183,583	Sheriff, Clerk of Courts	Sheriff & Clerk of Courts
\$173,192	Marshal, Magistrates Judges*, Juvenile Court Judges*	Marshal
\$162,801	Associate Judges*	

^{*} Pending legal review

Issue

Board's authority to exceed the \$50,000 supplement cap

Recommendation

- Delay implementation of Judges to seek an Attorney General opinion
 - Delay keeps our hierarchical compensation structure intact for our Judiciary
 - We have reserved \$900K to implement in 2022 if we receive a favorable response
- Move forward with salary/supplement adjustment for the Clerk, Solicitor, Sheriff,
 Marshal and Public Defender

^{**}No action has been taken relative to Commissioner's compensation

2022 Compensation Budget

	# Emp.	2022	Implementation
7% COLA	4038	\$22,597,658	Jan
Phase 2 of ICS	308	\$665,381	March
Elected	5	\$180,545	April
Filled Key Classifications	401	\$4,325,378	April
Vacant Key Classifications	108	\$3,163,189	April
		\$30,932,15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2022 Budget Allotment		\$31,826,943	
Reserved for Judges		\$894,792	