

BOC Meeting Date 12/18/2019

Requesting Agency

Commission Districts Affected

All Districts

Real Estate and Asset Management

Requested Action (Identify appropriate Action or Motion, purpose, cost, timeframe, etc.)

Request approval to renew existing contracts - Department of Real Estate and Asset Management, Bid#18ITB113946C-BKJ, Janitorial Services for Selected Fulton County Facilities (Groups E, F, G, H & I) in the total amount of \$976,067.27 with: (A) Chi-ADA Corporation, (Atlanta, GA) in the amount of \$211,677; (B) Quality Cleaning Services, Inc. (Douglasville, GA) in the amount of \$401,763.79; (C) Diversified Maintenance-RWS, LLC, (Birmingham, AL) in the amount of \$225,255.48; and (D) Chameleon Professional Services (Atlanta, GA) in the amount of \$137,371.00, to provide the highest quality cleaning services for selected Fulton County facilities. This action exercises the first of two renewal options. One renewal option remains. Effective dates: from January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

Requirement for Board Action (Cite specific Board policy, statute or code requirement)

In accordance with Purchasing Code Section 102-394(6), the Purchasing Department shall present all renewal requests to the Board of Commissioners at least 90 days prior to the contract renewal date or 60 days if the contract term is six (6) months or less.

Is this Item related to a Strategic Priority Area? (If yes, note strategic priority area below)

Yes All People trust government is efficient, effective, and fiscally sound

Is this a purchasing item?

Yes

Summary & Background

(First sentence includes Agency recommendation. Provide an executive summary of the action that gives an overview of the relevant details for the item.)

Scope of Work: This contract provides general cleaning for selected Fulton County facilities (Groups E, F, G, H & I) for the Department of Real Estate and Asset Management (DREAM).

The janitorial contractors shall furnish all materials, labor, tools, janitorial equipment, cleaning supplies, restroom supplies (including feminine products, soap, toilet seat covers, toilet tissue, paper towels, and other required supplies) and equipment necessary to provide the highest quality janitorial services at these facilities which consists of:

• Chi-ADA Corporation: LLC: - Group E: (South Libraries) consists of 14 facilities (Adams Park, Cleveland, College Park, East Point, Fairburn, Hapeville, South Fulton, Southwest Regional, Washington Park, West End, Wolf Creek, Palmetto, Metropolitan and Southeast Atlanta Libraries) and one parking area (South Fulton Parking Deck) with a total of 205,892 cleanable sq. ft.

Agency Director Approval		
Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director	Phone (404) 612-5800	Approval
Signature	Date	

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

- Quality Cleaning Services, Inc.: Group F: (Other Offices North) consists of two (2) facilities (Drug Court Training Center) & (Customer Service Center, formally WROC); and Group G (South Senior Centers) eight (8) facilities (HJC Bowden, Camp Truitt, Palmetto, Quality Living services, Hapeville, Camp Truitt 4-H Ed Center, New Beginnings and Southeast Senior Centers, with a Total of 138,113 cleanable sq. ft.
- Diversified Maintenance-RWS, LLC: Group F (Other Offices South) consists of three (3) facilities (Public Safety Training Center, Transportation & Construction and Fulton Clubhouse for Youth) and Group I (Service Centers) two facilities (North & South Service Centers), with a total of 121,148 cleanable sq. ft.
- Chameleon Professional Services: Group H (Arts Centers) consists of five (5) facilities (Cliftondale Multipurpose Center, Southwest Arts, West End Arts, Hammond House and Aviation Community Cultural Center) with a total of 89,783 cleanable sq. ft.

Community Impact: The overall community impact is to provide clean and sanitary facilities for the selected facilities.

Department Recommendation: The Department of Real Estate and Asset Management recommends approval to renew existing contracts to provide the highest quality of cleaning services for the selected Fulton County facilities, which consists of: Groups E: (South Fulton Libraries); F: (Other Offices North) & (Other Offices South); G: (South Senior Centers); H: (Art Centers); and I: (North & South Service Centers) for fiscal year 2020.

These are time and material contracts. The requested total spending authority in the amount of \$976,067.27 which is sufficient to cover the cost for cleaning materials, janitorial supplies, labor hours to include day porters; and additional events and unanticipated emergencies that may require additional janitorial support to maintain the required cleaning in these facilities for FY2020.

Historical Expenditures:

- FY2019: The County expenditure as of 11/12/2019, \$674,849.45
- FY2018: The County spent \$803,444.20
- FY2017: The County spent \$758,401.63

Project Implications: The contracts are design to provide and maintain sustainable clean and sanitary County facilities. The effective cleaning program is critical component to keeping a healthy and productive environment.

Community Issues/Concerns: None that the Department is aware of.

Department Issues/Concerns: If these renewal contracts are not approved, the Department will not be able to provide janitorial services for selected County facilities.

History of BOC Agenda Item: Yes, see charts below:

(A) Chi-Ada Corporation

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Contract Amount	18-1017	12/19/18	\$211,677.00
Renewal No. 1			\$211,677.00
Total Revised Amount			\$423,354.00

(B) Quality Cleaning Services, Inc.

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Contract Amount	18-1017	12/19/18	\$298,640.00
Amendment No.1	19-700	9/4/19	\$26,300.00
Amendment No.2			\$124,282.75
Renewal No. 1			\$401,763.79
Total Revised Amount			\$850,986.54

(C) Diversified Maintenance-RWS, LLC

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Contract Amount	18-1017	12/19/18	\$238,822.00
Renewal No. 1			\$225,255.48
Total Revised Amount			\$464,077.48

(D) Chameleon Professional Services

CURRENT CONTRACT HISTORY	BOC ITEM	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT
Original Contract Amount	18-1017	12/19/18	\$147,845.00
Renewal No. 1			\$137,371.00
Total Revised Amount			\$285,216.00

Contract Compliance Information (Provide Contractor and Subcontractor details.)

(A)

Contract Value: \$211,677.00

Prime Vendor: Chi-ADA Corporation

Prime Status: African American Male Business Enterprise Non-Certified

Location: Atlanta, GA
County: Fulton County
Prime Value: \$211,677.00

Subcontractor: None

Total Contract Value: \$211,677.00 or 100.00% Total M/FBE Value: \$211,677.00 or 100.00%

(B)

Contract Value: \$401,763.79

Prime Vendor: Quality Cleaning Services, Inc.

Prime Status: African American Male Business Enterprise-Certified

Location: Douglasville, GA County: Douglas County

Prime Value: \$401,763.79 or 100.00%

Subcontractor: None

Total Contract Value: \$401,763.79 or 100.00% Total M/FBE Value: \$401,763.79 or 100.00 %

(C)

Contract Value: \$225,255.48

Prime Vendor: Diversified Maintenance-RWS, LLC

Prime Status: Non-Minority
Location: Birmingham, AL
County: Jefferson County

Prime Value: \$225,255.48

Subcontractor: None

Total Contract Value: \$225,255.48 or 100.00%

Total M/FBE Value: \$-0-

(D)

Contract Value: \$137,371.00

Prime Vendor: Chameleon Professional Services

Prime Status: African American Female Business Enterprise Non-Certified

Location: Atlanta, GA
County: Fulton County
Prime Value: \$137,371.00

Subcontractor: None

Total Contract Value: \$137,371.00 or 100.00% Total M/FBE Value: \$137,371.00 or 100.00%

Grand Contract Value: \$976,067.27 or 100.00% **Grand M/FBE Value:** \$750,811.79 or 76.92%

.

Solicitation Information No. Bid Notices Sent:	NON-MFBE	MBE	FBE	TOTAL	
No. Bids Received:					

Total Contract Value	\$976,067.27 or 100.00%
Total M/FBE Values	\$750,811.79 or 76.92%
Total Prime Value	\$976,067.27 or 100.00%

Fiscal Impact / Funding Source

(Include projected cost, approved budget amount and account number, source of funds, and any future funding requirements.)

100-520-5221-1176: General, Real Estate and Asset Management, Cleaning Services - \$708,184 203-540-5453-1160: Water & Sewer R & E, Public Works, Professional Services - \$124,282.75 100-520-5221-1160: General, Real Estate and Asset Management, Professional Services - \$143,600.52 "Subject to availability of funding adopted for FY2020 by BOC"

Exhibits Attached

(Provide copies of originals, number exhibits consecutively, and label all exhibits in the upper right corner.)

Exhibit 1: Contracts Renewal Agreements
Exhibit 2: Contractor Performance Reports
Exhibit 3: Contract Renewal Evaluation Form

Source of Additional Information (Type Name, Title, Agency and Phone)

Joseph N. Davis, Director, Department of Real Estate and Asset Management, (404) 612-3772

Agency Director Approval		
Typed Name and Title Felicia Strong-Whitaker, Director	Phone (404) 612-5800	Approval
Signature	Date	

Revised 03/12/09 (Previous versions are obsolete)

Procurement				
Contrac Yes	ct Attached:	Previous Contracts: Yes		
Solicita	ntion Number: 13946C-BKJ	Submitting Agency: Department of Real Estate and Asset Management	Staff Contact: Harry Jordan	Contact Phone: (404) 612-5933
		o renew existing contraction county facilities for FY20		quality of cleaning services
		FINANCI	AL SUMMARY	
Total Contract Value: Original Approved Amount: \$896,984.00 Previous Adjustments: \$150,582.75 This Request: \$976,067.27 TOTAL: \$976,067.27 Amount: \$750,811.79 or 76.92% Amount: \$%: Amount: \$750,811.79 or 76.92% Amount: \$%: Cash Match Required: \$10-Kind Start Date: Approval to Award End Date: Approval to Award End Date: Apply & Accept Match Account \$: Funding Line 1: 100-520-5221-1176: \$708,184.00 "Subject to availability of funding adopted for funding adopted funding				%: . %: . r 76.92% %: . ward pt
		KEY CON	TRACT TERMS	
Start D: 1/1/202 Cost A		End Date: 12/31/2020 Renewal/Extension To	erms:	
	•	One renewal options re	emains.	
ROUTING & APPROVALS (Do not edit below this line)				
X Originating Department:		Davis, Joseph	Date: 12/4/2019	
X County Attorney:X Purchasing/Contract Compliance:X Finance/Budget Analyst/Grants Admin:		Stewart, Denval	Date: 12/10/2019	
X Purchasing/Contract Compliance:		Strong-Whitaker, Fel		
Х		t Analyst/Grants Admin:	Whitted, Ivan	Date: 12/4/2019
<u>.</u> Х	Grants Manage		Anderson Dick	Date: . Date: 12/11/2019
X County Manager:		Anderson, Dick	Date. 12/11/2019	



CONTRACT RENEWAL AGREEMENT

DEPARTMENT: Real Estate and Asset Management

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 18ITB113946C-BKJ

BID/RFP# TITLE: Janitorial Services for Selected Fulton County Facilities (Groups E, F, G, H

& I)

ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE: 12/19/2018

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: 1/1/2020 **THROUGH** 12/31/2020

RENEWAL OPTION #: 1 OF 2

NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 2

RENEWAL AMOUNT: \$211,677.00

COMPANY'S NAME: Chi-Ada Corporation

ADDRESS: 2750 W. Oakland Park Blvd., Suite B

CITY: Oakland Park

STATE: FL

ZIP: 33311

This Renewal Agreement No. ___ was approved by the Fulton County Board of

Commissioners on BOC DATE: BOC NUMBER:

RECESS MEETING

Vendor agrees to accept the renewal option and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the contract and specifications for Bid/RFP# 18ITB113946C-BKJ (Person signing must have signature authority for the company/corporation) NAME: _____ _____ (Print) (CEO, President, Vice President) VENDOR'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: ATTEST: NOTARY PUBLIC: _____ TITLE: _____ COUNTY:____ SEAL (Affix) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____ **FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA** DATE: **ROBERT L. PITTS, CHAIRMAN** FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ATTEST: DATE: TONYA R. GRIER INTERIM CLERK TO THE COMMISSION SEAL (Affix) DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZES RENEWAL OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED BID/RFP: **DEPARTMENT HEAD:** Joseph N. Davis, Director, DREAM (Print) DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE: _____ DATE _____ ITEM#: RM:____ RCS:____ ITEM#:



CONTRACT RENEWAL AGREEMENT

DEPARTMENT: Real Estate and Asset Management

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 17ITB109620C-BKJ

BID/RFP# TITLE: Janitorial Services for Selected Fulton County Facilities (Groups C, D & G)

ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE: 7/20/2017

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: 1/1/2020 **THROUGH** 12/31/2020

RENEWAL OPTION #: 2 OF 2

NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 2

RENEWAL AMOUNT: \$225,255.48

COMPANY'S NAME: Diversified Maintenance-RWS, LLC

ADDRESS: 331 First Avenue North

CITY: Birmingham

STATE: AL

ZIP: 35204

This Renewal Agreement No. ___ was approved by the Fulton County Board of

Commissioners on BOC DATE: BOC NUMBER:

RECESS MEETING

Vendor agrees to accept the renewal option and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the contract and specifications for Bid/RFP# 17ITB109620C-BKJ (Person signing must have signature authority for the company/corporation) NAME: _____ _____ (Print) (CEO, President, Vice President) VENDOR'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: ATTEST: NOTARY PUBLIC: _____ TITLE: _____ COUNTY:____ SEAL (Affix) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____ **FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA** DATE: **ROBERT L. PITTS, CHAIRMAN** FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ATTEST: DATE: TONYA R. GRIER INTERIM CLERK TO THE COMMISSION SEAL (Affix) DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZES RENEWAL OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED BID/RFP: **DEPARTMENT HEAD:** Joseph N. Davis, Director, DREAM (Print) DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE: _____ DATE _____ ITEM#: RM:____ RCS:____ ITEM#:



CONTRACT RENEWAL AGREEMENT

DEPARTMENT: Real Estate and Asset Management

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 18ITB113946C-BKJ

BID/RFP# TITLE: Janitorial Services for Selected Fulton County Facilities (Groups E, F, G, H

& I)

ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE: 12/19/2018

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: 1/1/2020 **THROUGH** 12/31/2020

RENEWAL OPTION #: 1 OF 2

NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 2

RENEWAL AMOUNT: \$544,524.79

COMPANY'S NAME: Quality Cleaning Services, Inc.

ADDRESS: 6308 Linecrest Drive

CITY: Douglasville

STATE: GA

ZIP: 30134

This Renewal Agreement No. ___ was approved by the Fulton County Board of

Commissioners on BOC DATE: BOC NUMBER:

RECESS MEETING

Vendor agrees to accept the renewal option and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the contract and specifications for Bid/RFP# 18ITB113946C-BKJ (Person signing must have signature authority for the company/corporation) NAME: _____ _____ (Print) (CEO, President, Vice President) VENDOR'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: ATTEST: NOTARY PUBLIC: _____ TITLE: _____ COUNTY:____ SEAL (Affix) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____ **FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA** DATE: **ROBERT L. PITTS, CHAIRMAN** FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ATTEST: DATE: TONYA R. GRIER INTERIM CLERK TO THE COMMISSION SEAL (Affix) DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZES RENEWAL OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED BID/RFP: **DEPARTMENT HEAD:** Joseph N. Davis, Director, DREAM (Print) DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE: _____ DATE _____ ITEM#: RM:____ RCS:____ ITEM#:

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/2019	6/30/2019	1/1/2019	12/31/2019
PO Number			PO Date
030519-0229			3/5/2019
Department	Real Estate and Asset Management		
Bid Number	18ITB113946C-BKJ		
Service Commodity	Janitorial Services (Group E-South Libraries)		
Contractor	Chi-Ada		

Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied.
Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied.
Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

. Quality of	f Goods/Services (-Specification Compilance - Technical Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification)
\cap	Comments:
0 0	Chi-Ada has again demonstrated an efficient / effective approach to cleaning the South Libraries during this rating period. They are knowledgeable and experienced in the
\bigcirc 1	Janitorial business and are willing to make necessary adjustments if needed in order to meet the needs of the County. The Project Manager has been diligent in resolving
O 2	any requests given to him including the opening/closing of some of the South branches for renovation.
3	
O 4	
O 4	
Timelines	s of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time

. Timeliness of Performation Per Contract)

Comments: \bigcirc 0

 \bigcirc 1 O 2 During this second quarter, Chi-Ada has continued to meet timelines, respond quickly and generally meet the needs of the County. When asked, they have gone above and beyond to make sure things get handled, even when given very short notice.

3 O 4

. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

\bigcirc	Comments
0	

	Communications with Chi-Ada continue to be open and no	sitive during this se	cond grading period. The	e Project Manager has demonstrated that he is willing and anxious to	
# 19	9-1144 in efforts to continually improve service.	He has continued to	be very responsive and v	very pleasant to work with.	
0.					
4					
. Custome	r Satisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - Met Spec	ification - Within	Budget - Proper Invoicing	ng - No Substitutions)	
O 0	Comments:		640. 100/100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	The contraction of the contract of the contrac	
O 1	Chi-Ada has met most user quality expectations and custor	mers are generally	satisfied with the service b	being delivered. Even with the challenges faced with the opening and	
	closing of 4-5 of the South Libraries during this period, Chi-Ada (specifically the Project Manager) has continued to be helpful and cooperative and very effective at getting the job done.				
O 2					
3					
4					
C4	Non-Man Damana I (Cardentiala (Taraniana A A A A A A A A				
. Contract	tors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appropriate	- Effective Super	vision/Management - Ava	valiable as Needed)	
\bigcirc 0	Comments:				
\bigcirc 1	to monitor and "QC" his staff to ensure the facilities are ser	perienced, effective viced properly and	e, and cooperative in his over in a timely manner. Howe	oversight of the cleaning of the South Libraries. He will need to continue vever, he communicates very well, which makes it much easier to reach	
O 2	our mutual goal of clean Libraries in the South.				
3					
O 4					
0 4					
)verall Per	formance Rating: 3.0				
	select/recommend this vendor again? t for Yes. Leave Blank for No)		Rating completed by:	Darwin.White	
Yes	O No				
			ıt.		
	Department Head Name	De	epartment Head Signatur	ure Date	
5	oseph N. Davis	Chu	ale	8/21/2019	
		700	/400		

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/2019	6/30/2019	1/1/2019	12/31/2019
PO Number			PO Date
021519-0189			2/15/2019
	Real Estate and Asset Manag	gement	
Bid Number	18ITB113946C-BKJ		
Service Commodity	Janitorial Services (Group G-South Senior Centers and Group		nd Group F-Other Offices N
Contractor	Quality Cleaning Services		

= Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
= Poor	Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied.
:= Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
= Good	Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied.
= Excellent	Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

. Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification)

\mathcal{I}	Comments:
O 1	Quality Cleaning Service has continued to provide great service during the second quarter of 2019. They comply with specifications and keep adequate personnel on hand to service the County facilities.
O 2	
3	
O 4	y .
Timelines	s of Performance / Ware Milestones Met Per Contract - Persones Time (nor agreement if applicable) - Personalization of Direction/Characteristics

. Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time completion Per Contract)

7 -	Comments:

During this period, QCS has continued to meet milestones per the contract and they have been responsive to the needs of the County. They have again demonstrated a very good working knowledge of the janitorial needs at the Drug Court and South Senior Center locations.

. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

Comments: 0 1

3

stomer Sa	tisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - M	let Specification	- Within Budget	- Proper Invoicing	j - No Substitut	tions)
	nments: stomers have expressed satisfaction with the se	ervices provided b	ov OCS during this	period. There have	e heen ven, fev	v concerns expressed with the quality of service, a
1 135	ues are addressed quickly when they arise.		,	panea. There has	ic been very lev	v concerns expressed with the quality of service, a
3						
4						
" <u> </u>						
ntractors	Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appr	ropriate - Effecti	ve Supervision/N	lanagement - Ava	ilable as Neede	ed)
	nments:					
ITh	e Project Manager for QCS, Mr. Featherstone, hen very responsive. He has demonstrated a very	as done a great joy y high level of kno	ob managing the o	contract requireme	nts during this ra	ating period. He has made himself available, and
2			No.	J J P	7.76	
3						
i you sele	ance Rating: 3.4 ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No)		Rating	completed by:	Darwin.White	
d you sele k box for	ct/recommend this vendor again?	CAROLINA DE LA CAROLINA DEL CAROLINA DEL CAROLINA DE LA CAROLINA D	Rating	completed by:	Darwin.White	
l you sele k box for	ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No)			completed by: nt Head Signatur		Date
d you sele ck box for Yes (ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) No					
d you sele ck box for Yes (ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) NO					Date
d you sele ck box for Yes (ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) NO					Date
d you sele ck box for Yes	ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) NO					Date
d you sele ck box for Yes	ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) NO					Date
d you sele ck box for Yes	ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) NO					Date
d you sele ck box for Yes	ct/recommend this vendor again? Yes. Leave Blank for No) NO					Date

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
10/31/2019	11/30/2019	1/1/2019	12/31/2019
PO Number			PO Date
030719-0325			11/27/2019
Department	Real Estate and Asset Manag	gement	
Bid Number	18ITB113946C-BKJ		
Service Commodity	Janitorial Service (Group F&	k I (North & South)	
Contractor	Diversified Cleaning Service	е	

= Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
= Poor	Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied.
: = Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
s = Good	Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied.
= Excellent	Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

. Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification)

\bigcirc 0	Comments:
	A change of project manager has improved quality of goods and service tremendously.
\bigcirc 1	
2	
○ 3	
O 4	
. Timelines	so of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time Per Contract)
\bigcirc \bullet	Comments:

\bigcirc 0	Timelessness of performance has improved with new management team.
	Timelessiess of performance has improved with new management team.
\bigcirc 1	
2	
0.	

. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

\bigcirc o	Comments:
--------------	-----------

# 19-1144 nd that diversified continues to strive to improve	nmunication and responsiveness when problems their Q.C program.	arises within the Senior centers or Annex locations. It is
○ 4		
. Customer Satisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - Met Specificat	ion - Within Budget - Proper Invoicing - No Su	thetitutions)
Comments:	The state of the s	institutions)
Building customers and county staff are acceptable with the nighthe recent changes of the project manager and company respon	tly cleaning standards of Diversified cleaning ser siveness to the needs of the customers and staff	vice. The overall attitude of management has improved with
standards.		mas improved greatly . Dinning to up to date with country
○ 3		
● 4		
. Contractors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appropriate - Effe	ective Supervision/Management - Available as	s Needed)
O Comments:		
The new management team has vast experience and knowledge	of working conditions at the county. New manage	gement supervision has performed up to standards.
● 2		
O 3		
O 4		
Overall Performance Rating: 2.6		
Vould you select/recommend this vendor again? Check box for Yes. Leave Blank for No)	Rating completed by: carlos.	gordon
Yes	10	
Department Head Name	Department Head Signature	Date
JUSEPH N. DAVIS	Chee Mavio	11/27/2019

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
10/1/2019	11/30/2019	1/1/2019	12/31/2019
PO Number			PO Date
022719-0268			2/27/2019
Department	Real Estate and Asset Management		
Bid Number	18ITB113946C-BKJ		
Service Commodity	Janitorial Services (Group H - South Arts Centers)		
Contractor	Chameleon Professional Services		

= Unsatisfactory	Achieves contract requirements less than 50% of the time, not responsive, effective and/or efficient, unacceptable delay, incompetence, high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
= Poor	Achieves contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customers somewhat satisfied.
= Satisfactory	Achieves contract requirements 80% of the time; generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
= Good	Achieves contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied.
= Excellent	Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

. Quality of Goods/Services (-Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence - Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification)

0 0	Comments:
O 1	Though Chameleon experienced some challenges with event scheduling at the Arts Centers during the third quarter, their services have re-bounded somewhat during this grading period. The Director of Operations collinus to be unresponsive at times to the specific needs of the facilities and their programs, but discussions with the company
O 2	have led to indications of improvement. The slight improvement in service during this short period has influenced the score in this category.
3	
O 4	

. Timeliness of Performance (-Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Direction/Change - On Time Completion Per Contract)

Comments:

The Project Manager for Chameleon has continued to be somewhat responsive during this short grading period. The company could still improve in the area of "responsiveness to direction/change." The expectations are that recent discussions with company management will continue to improve the score in this category.

O 4

. Business Relations (-Responsiveness to Inquiries - Prompt Problem Notifications)

Comments:

# 19	The Project Manager and the Director of Operations have cont 1144 still been difficult to get from the company repr	inued to express a partial lack of authority resentatives.	to make some important decisions for the	ie company at times. Work
0 4				
. Custome	r Satisfaction (-Met User Quality Expectations - Met Specific	ation - Within Budget - Proper Invoicing	g - No Substitutions)	
0 0	Comments:			
O 1	Some general concerns have continued to be expressed about Cultural Center, and at West End Arts (specifically floor work a	t the overall service provided by Chamele t this facility.)	on during this rating period at the Southv	est Arts Center, Aviation
2				
○ 3				
O 4				
. Contrac	tors Key Personnel (-Credentials/Experience Appropriate - E	ffective Supervision/Management - Ava	ailable as Needed)	
O 0	Comments:			
O 1	The Project Manager has demonstrated an adequate level of c could continue to improve in the areas of "Effective Supervision"	leaning knowledge, and a willingness to p	provide the needed service during this pe	riod. The Director of Operations
O 2	Zinoute outpervision	, management, and Available as Neede	u.	
00 00 00 000				
3				
4				
Overall Per	formance Rating: 2.6			
Vould you	select/recommend this vendor again?	Rating completed by:	Darwin.White	
Yes	r for Yes. Leave Blank for No)			
© 165	O NO			
	Department Head Name	Department Head Signatur	e I	Date
J	035ph N. DAvis	Ge Davo	12/2/2019	

Contract Renewal Evaluation Form

Date:	November 18, 2019
Department:	Real Estate and Asset Management
Contract Number:	18ITB113946C-BKJ
Contract Title:	Janitorial Services for Selected County Facilities (Groups E, F, G, H & I)

Instructions:

It is extremely important that every contract be rigidly scrutinized to determine if the contract provides the County with value. Each renewal shall be reviewed and answers provided to determine whether services should be maintained, services/scope reduced, services brought in-house or if the contract should be terminated. Please submit a completed copy of this form with all renewal requests.

1. Describe what efforts were made to reduce the scope and cost of this contract.

The scope of work for this contract cannot be reduced because we do not have the in house capabilities to furnish all janitorial services and labor, materials, cleaning supplies (soap, toilet seat covers, toilet tissue, paper towels, and other required supplies) and equipment necessary to provide the highest quality of janitorial services at these County facilities: South libraries, Offices located in North and South Fulton, Senior Centers, Arts Centers and North and South Service Centers.

2. Describe the analysis you made to determine if the current prices for this good or service is reflective of the current market. Check all applicable statements and provide documentation:

☐ Internet search of pricing for same product or service:	
Date of search:	Click here to enter a date.
Price found:	Click here to enter text.
Different features / Conditions:	Click here to enter text.
Percent difference between internet price and renewal price:	Click here to enter text.

Explanation / Notes:

The prices for Janitorial Services do reflect the current market value in the Atlanta metro area. This procurement was conducted in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Fulton County Code of Ordinances and the specific method of source selection for the services required in this bid is code Selection 102-373, Competitive Sealed Bid.

Date contacted: Click here to enter		o enter a date.
Jurisdiction Name / Contact name:	City of Atlanta/Vince Williams	
Date of last purchase:	f last purchase: Click here to enter a de	
Price paid:	Click here to enter text.	
Inflation rate:	Click here to enter text.	
Adjusted price:	Click here to enter text.	
Percent difference between past purchase price and renewal price:	Click here t	to enter text.
Are they aware of any new vendors?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Are they aware of a reduction in pricing in this industry?	□Yes	⊠ No
How does pricing compare to Fulton County's award contract'	Yes, the prices are comparable.	
Explanation / Notes: Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services.	uilding type. Many ja r square foot is app	anitorial cleaning
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is	uilding type. Many j r square foot is appi paying comparable	anitorial cleaning
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services. Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the of Click here to enter text.	uilding type. Many jar square foot is apple paying comparable utcome):	anitorial cleaning roximately range price for or previous fisc
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services. Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the of Click here to enter text. What was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) sper year? The County has spent \$803,444.20 in FY2018, and \$395,	uilding type. Many jar square foot is apple paying comparable utcome):	anitorial cleaning roximately range price for or previous fisc.
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services. Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the of Click here to enter text. What was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) sper year? The County has spent \$803,444.20 in FY2018, and \$395, \$674,849.45.	uilding type. Many jar square foot is applied a square foot is applied a square foot is applied a square foot this contract foot foot foot foot foot foot foot fo	anitorial cleaning roximately range price for or previous fisc.
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services. Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the of Click here to enter text. What was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) sper year? The County has spent \$803,444.20 in FY2018, and \$395, \$674,849.45. Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index)	uilding type. Many jar square foot is applied a square foot is applied a square foot is applied a square foot this contract foot foot this contract foot foot foot foot foot foot foot fo	anitorial cleaning roximately range price for or previous fisca 119, ☑ No
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services. Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the or Click here to enter text. What was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) sper year? The County has spent \$803,444.20 in FY2018, and \$395, \$674,849.45. Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index) Was it part of the initial contract?	uilding type. Many jar square foot is appropriate paying comparable utcome): It for this contract for the contract for the contract for Yes	anitorial cleaning roximately range price for or previous fiscong. No No
Costs vary considerably depending on how much you want do at all clear-cut it depends a great deal on the company and be companies will charge a flat rate. The average cost to clean perfrom \$1.08 to 1.45. Compared to City of Atlanta, the County is janitorial services. Other (Describe in detail the analysis conducted and the oraclick here to enter text. What was the actual expenditure (from the AMS system) sper year? The County has spent \$803,444.20 in FY2018, and \$395, \$674,849.45. Does the renewal option include an adjustment for inflation? (Information can be obtained from CPI index) Was it part of the initial contract?	uilding type. Many jar square foot is appropriate paying comparable utcome): It for this contract for this contract for this contract for Yes Yes Yes Click here to enter	anitorial cleaning roximately range price for or previous fisconal No No No a date. text.

Percent difference between past purchase price and re	newal price: Click here to enter text.
Explanation / Notes:	
Click here to enter text.	
5. Is this a seasonal item or service? ☐ Yes	⊠ No
6. Has an analysis been conducted to determine if ☐ No If yes, attach the analysis.	this service can be performed in-house? 🛛 Yes
Service was performed in-house for approximetric ineffective. Due to the projected Health Carrising supply cost, if was more cost effective.	
7. What would be the impact on your department i	f this contract was not approved?
If these renewal contracts are not approved Services for selected County Facilities. The facilities will reduce any potential decease patrons in these facilities.	
Vames Morehead, Building Services Mgr.	(1/27/19)
	Oliable and to a 10 to 10 to
Joseph N. Davis, Director	Click here to enter a date.
// Department Head	Date



CONTRACT RENEWAL AGREEMENT

DEPARTMENT: Real Estate and Asset Management

BID/RFP# NUMBER: 18ITB113946C-BKJ

BID/RFP# TITLE: Janitorial Services for Selected Fulton County Facilities (Groups E, F, G, H

& I)

ORIGINAL APPROVAL DATE: 12/19/2018

RENEWAL PERIOD: FROM: 1/1/2020 THROUGH 12/31/2020

RENEWAL OPTION #: 1 OF 2

NUMBER OF RENEWAL OPTIONS: 2

RENEWAL AMOUNT: \$137,371.00

COMPANY'S NAME: Chameleon Professional Services Corporation

ADDRESS: 2980 Herron Lane, SW

CITY: Atlanta

STATE: GA

ZIP: 30349

This Renewal Agreement No. ___ was approved by the Fulton County Board of

Commissioners on BOC DATE: BOC NUMBER:

RECESS MEETING

Vendor agrees to accept the renewal option and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the contract and specifications for Bid/RFP# 18ITB113946C-BKJ (Person signing must have signature authority for the company/corporation) NAME: _____ _____(Print) (CEO, President, Vice President) VENDOR'S SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: ATTEST: NOTARY PUBLIC: _____ TITLE: _____ COUNTY:____ SEAL (Affix) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____ **FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA** DATE: **ROBERT L. PITTS, CHAIRMAN** FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ATTEST: DATE: TONYA R. GRIER INTERIM CLERK TO THE COMMISSION SEAL (Affix) DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZES RENEWAL OPTION ON THE AFOREMENTIONED BID/RFP: **DEPARTMENT HEAD:** Joseph N. Davis, Director, DREAM (Print) DEPARTMENT HEAD SIGNATURE: _____ DATE _____ ITEM#: RM:____ RCS:____ ITEM#: