




**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
01/02/2022	5/1/2022	01/01/2022	12/31/2022
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
520-17RFP105999K-JAJM4		02/10/2022	
Department			
Department of Real Estate and Asset Management			
Bid Number		Service Commodity	
17RFP105999K-JAJ		Elevator and Escalator Maintenance	
Contractor			
Mowrey Elevator Co			
Performance Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.		
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.		
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.		
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied		
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.		
1. Quality of Goods/Services		(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)	
<input type="radio"/> 0	Comments: Vendor provided, during the review period, goods and services of acceptable quality. The work complied with the specifications. Reporting the status and supporting the work with documentation has improved considerably, but there is space for improvement		
<input type="radio"/> 1			
<input type="radio"/> 2			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 3			
<input type="radio"/> 4			
2. Timeliness of Performance		(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)	
<input type="radio"/> 0	Comments: Vendor has been maintaining a good record of completion of work which is available when demanded. Response time is in line with requirements. Vendor was very responsive to directions even in emergency situations		
<input type="radio"/> 1			
<input type="radio"/> 2			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 3			
<input type="radio"/> 4			

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Comments: Vendor maintained good communication with contract management staff and building occupants. Communications were clearly understood and received by both parties.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Comments: Vendor's work complied with quality expectations. Vendor was quick and responsible in identifying maintenance problems and in resolving them. Very good documentation of Preventive maintenance activities. Invoicing is accurate and as budgeted.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Comments: Contractor has deployed technicians knowledgeable and experienced in the work. On any given day, two technicians were available on sites other than downtown locations, and two technicians are stationed on most days at the down town site. Supervision and assignment of work to them was done satisfactorily
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input type="radio"/>	3	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.20	Date	5/25/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Rating completed by:	Vijaya Nair 		
Department Head Name:	Joseph Davis		
Department Head Signature			

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save