

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASFING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End **Contract Period Start** Contract Period End Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date Department Bid Number Service Commodity Contractor **Performance Rating** Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Pooremployees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive: effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification 0 1 2 3 4 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per 2. Timeliness of Performance agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Directions/Change – On Time Completion Per Contract) 0 1 2 3 4

| 3. Business Relations | | (Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications) | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. Customer Satisfaction | | (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – So Substitutions) | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Contractors Key Personnel | | (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective | | |
| | | Supervision/Management – Available as Needed) | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Overall Performance Rating | 1. | Date | | | |
|---|-------|------|--|--|--|
| Would you select/recommend this vendor again? | | | | | |
| Rating completed by: | | | | | |
| Department Head Name: | | | | | |
| Department Head Signature | Si /s | | | | |

After you have competed filling out the form: Submit the for to Purchasing Print a copy of the form Save the form