

١

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASFING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start Report			eriod End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End		
	July 1, 2021 June 3		e 30, 2022	July 1, 2021	June 30, 2022		
Purchaser Order Number				Purchase Order Date			
		TB031120A-	CJC	01/14/2	2022		
Departme	nt						
				ty Juvenile Court			
Bid Numb				Service Commodity			
	TB031120A			Accountability Courts Surveillance Officer			
Contractor	r Live Ll	_C					
				ance Rating			
		Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive,					
0 = Unsati	isfactory	effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of					
		customer dissatisfaction.					
		Archives con	ntract requirem	requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive,			
1 = Poor		effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key					
		employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.					
		Archives cor	tract requirem	ents 80% of the time Conors	lly roon analyse offerting		
		Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs					
2 = Satisfa	actory	adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without					
		intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.					
		Archives cor	ntract requirem	ents 90% of the time. Usually	responsive: effective		
3 = Good		Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees					
3 – G000		are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly					
		satisfied					
		Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive;					
4 = Excelle	ent	highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and					
		require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.					
1 Quality of Goods/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence –							
1. Quality of Goods/Services			Reports/Ac	Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification			
0 0 1	LIVE, LLC p	grams They performed					
O 1	LIVE, LLC provided excellent services to out Accountability Court Programs. They performe each duty as outlined in the Scope of Work.						
O 2	and a set of a						
O 3							
• 4							

2. Timeliness of Performance	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)		
O 0 LIVE, LLC surveillance off O 1 and increased visits when O 2 O 3 O 4	ficer conducted weekly visits to program participants as directed		

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)			
0	Mr. Fleming with LIVE, LLC always made himself available to address any problems or				
	concerns. He and his staff were very flexible and understanding when the court made				
	changes to building access during the height of the pandmeic.				
3	i den not not not not not prove and a constant de state de la constant de state de state de state de state de st				
4					
	0	0 Mr. Fleming with LIV 1 concerns. He and his			

4. Customer Satisfaction		ner Satisfaction	(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget –					
			Proper Invoicing – So Substitutions)					
	0	LIVE, LLC surveilland	ce officers always conducted home visits timely and as directed. The					
	1	surveillance officer also input data into our case management system, Connexis after each						
	2	visit. They also participanted in weekly staffing meeting. During the most difficult times of the						
	3	pandemic, the surveillance officer increased their home and community visits to ensure that						
Х	4	participants reminded compliant with program requirements.						
5. Contractors Key Personnel			(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective					
5.00	5. Contractors Rey Personner		Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)					
0	O UVE, LLC hired qualified and post certified officers as required.							
O	O 1							
O	2							
0	3							
Θ	4							

Overall Performance Rating	3.60	Date	5/27/2022				
Would you select/recommend	d this vendor again?	Yes	No				
Rating completed by: Tiffany Barclay							
Department Head Name:	Timothy Ezell	5.0					
Department Head Signature		2	and the first of the second				
After you have competed filling out the form:							
Submit the for to Purchasing							
Print a copy of the form							
Save the form							

