

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASFING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

| CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| GOODS AND COMMODITIES | | | | | | |
| | | SOODS AND | COMMODITIES | | | |
| Report Period Start | Report Period End | | Contract Period Start | Contract Davied Fred | | |
| 1/1/2021 | | /31/2021 | 1/1/2021 | Contract Period End | | |
| Purchaser Order Number | | 3 1/2021 | Purchase Order Date | 12/31/2021 | | |
| 21TATT132226C-MH | | 4 | 10/19/2021 | | | |
| Department To/19/2021 | | | | | | |
| Registration and Elections | | | | | | |
| Bid Number Service Commodity | | | | | | |
| 21ITBCRE090820C-MH | | BALLOT PRINTING | | | | |
| Contractor | | | DALEGI I I KINTING | | | |
| | Tattnal | Journal Inc. db | a Tattnall Ballot Solutions | | | |
| | | | nce Rating | | | |
| 0 = Unsatisfactory | Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, | | | | | |
| Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. | | | | | | |
| 2 = Satisfactory | adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. | | | | | |
| 3 = Good | Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied | | | | | |
| 4 = Excellent | Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. Quality of Goods/Services | | | (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification | | | |
| O 0 1 Comments O 3 0 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. Timeliness of Performance | | agreement, | (Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to | | | |
| O 0 1 Comments O 3 0 4 | | Directions/C | hange – On Time Complet | tion Per Contract) | | |

| 3. Business Relations | (Responsiveness t | o Inquires – Prompt Prol | blem Notifications) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| O 0 1 Comments O 2 0 3 O 4 | | | | | | |
| 4. Customer Satisfaction | (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – So Substitutions) | | | | | |
| O 0 1 Comments O 3 4 | | , | | | | |
| 5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed) | | | | | | |
| O 0 1 Comments O 3 O 4 | | | | | | |
| Overall Performance Rating | 1,0 | Date | 5/16/2022 | | | |
| Would you select/recommend | | Yes | No | | | |
| Rating completed by: NAD | INE WILLIAMS | | | | | |
| Department Head Name: Nadine Williams | | | | | | |
| Department Head Signature Madine Williams | | | | | | |
| After you have competed filling out the form: Submit the for to Purchasing Print a copy of the form Save the form | | | | | | |
| Submit | Pr | rint | Save | | | |