

## DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT						
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES						
Poport Poriod Start	Poport Dori	od End	Contract Daried Start	Ormania De la LE L		
Report Period Start 1/1/2022	Report Peri	00 End /2022	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End		
Purchaser Order Nur		12022	1/1/2022 Purchase Order Date	12/31/2022		
	2200000000002	17				
Department	2000000000000	T1	7 4/28/2022			
DREAM						
Bid Number						
20ITB126000	C-GS	Asphalt/Con	crete Pavement Maintenance	and Repair Services		
Contractor						
			uction Services			
		Performa	nce Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contr effective and/c customer diss	act requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, r efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of tisfaction.				
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.					
2 = Satisfactory and/or efficien adjustments;		act requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective t; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without ustomers indicate satisfaction.				
3 = Good	and/or efficien are highly com satisfied	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied				
4 = Excellent highly efficient		act requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and al directions; customers expectations are exceeded.				
1. Quality of Goods/S	services		n Compliance – Technical E ninistration – Personnel Qua			
	Quality of goods and services are excellent. Personnel are qualified and experienced with good technical excellence. Reports and administration are efficient and prompt.					
2. Timeliness of Perfo	ormance	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)				
O 1 Generally take way to	<ul> <li>2 take way too long. Even for simple projects. Otherwise repsonds well to change in direction</li> <li>3 or change in scope.</li> </ul>					

3. Business Relations		ss Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)		
	0 1 2 3 4		ons; effective and efficient with problem notifications or updates on e better on delays for request for proposals.		
		(Met l	Jser Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget –		

	0	
	1	Customers were always satisfied and met specs stated in contract.User quality was good. Sometimes over budget but still seems a bit expensive even though increase in products wit
0	2	inflation is known.
$\odot$	3	
$\bigcirc$	4	

5. Contractors Key Personnel		ctors Key Personnel	(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)		
00	0	Personnel are effective, experienced with proper credentials. Management is always available; for calls, emails etc			
0	2				
0	3		8		
$\odot$	4				

<b>Overall Performance Rating</b>	3.20	Date	July 19, 2022	
Would you select/recomment	nd this vendor again?	✓ Yes	No	
Rating completed by: Mark Moore				
Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis				
Department Head Signature	Frexit			