



**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

GOODS AND COMMODITIES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/2022	8/31/2022	1/1/2022	12/31/2022
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
081222-1108		8/12/2022	
Department			
Real Estate and Asset Management			
Bid Number	Service Commodity		
20ITB125835C-GS	Carpet Tile Installation and Repair Services		
Contractor			

Bonitz, Inc.

Performance Rating

0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.

1. Quality of Goods/Services

(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence –
Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)

<input type="radio"/>	0	The contractor so far is meeting service expectations in replacing carpet on the 5th floor of the Justice Tower.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	

2. Timeliness of Performance

(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)

<input type="radio"/>	0	Bonitz has a great response time and on time with all estimates.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The Contractor has a great work relation with the County.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The Contractor is good with all invoicing and estimates.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Bonitz's staff is knowledgeable and has great supervision.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.00	Date	9/1/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Rating completed by:	Vijay Nair		
Department Head Name:	Joseph Davis		
Department Head Signature	<i>Joseph Davis</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/2022	6/30/2022	1/1/2022	12/31/2022
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
030722-0322		3/7/2022	
Department			
Real Estate and Asset Management			
Bid Number		Service Commodity	
20ITB125835C-GS		Carpet Tile Installation and Repair Services	
Contractor			
Prime Contractors, Inc.			
Performance Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.		
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.		
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.		
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied		
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.		
1. Quality of Goods/Services		(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	Prime Contractors is highly competent in customers satisfaction.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		
2. Timeliness of Performance		(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	Prime Contractor has a great response time and on time with all estimates.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Prime Contractors has a great working relation with the County and very responsible.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
	0	Prime Contractors is good with all invoicing but sometimes prices is a little higher compared to other vendors.
	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Prime Contractors personnel is very skillful has a good management.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.00	Date	7/26/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Rating completed by:	Michael Young		
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis		
Department Head Signature	<i>Joseph Davis</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save



**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
4/1/2022	6/30/2022	1/1/2022	12/31/2022
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
031522-0396		3/15/2022	
Department			
Real Estate and Asset Management			
Bid Number		Service Commodity	
20ITB125835C-GS		Carpet Tile Installation and Repair Services	
Contractor			
HPI Floor, Inc.			
Performance Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.		
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.		
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.		
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied		
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.		
1. Quality of Goods/Services		(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor is fully compliant with the technical and commercial specifications in the ITB. Vendors administration and reporting in contract execution is very good. Technicians possess necessary knowledgeing the work.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		
2. Timeliness of Performance		(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	Completed work in a timely manner meeting deadlines and schedules. Vendor was communicative and very responsive to directions.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Vendor maintained excellent written and telephone communication with the Contract Manager. Provides very good coordination with other entities in the circle including the tenant, department and building security.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input type="radio"/>	3	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Vendor was flexible and met quality expectations fully. Invoices were accurate and timely and within the quoted prices.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
<input type="radio"/>	0	Contractor's key personnel appeared knowledgeable and experienced during the meetings and conversations. Vendor readily provided update about the progress of awarded work.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.20	Date	7/26/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Rating completed by:	Vijay Nair		
Department Head Name:	Joseph Davis		
Department Head Signature	<i>Joseph Davis</i>		

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save