

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT						
GOODS AND COMMODITIES						
Report Period Start	Report Perio	od End	Contract Period Start	Contract Dariad End		
4/1/2022	8/31/2			Contract Period End 12/31/2022		
Purchaser Order Nur		2022	Purchase Order Date	12/31/2022		
	1222-1108		8/12/20	100		
Department	1222-1100		0/12/20	122		
Doparanona	Rea	I Estate and	Asset Management			
Bid Number		ervice Comm				
20ITB125835			rpet Tile Installation and Rep	air Services		
Contractor						
		Boni	tz, Inc.			
			nce Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory		act requireme r efficient; una	nts less than 50% of the time acceptable delay; incompeter			
1 = Poor	effective and/o	r efficient; del	nts 70% of the time. Margina ays require significant adjust ble; customer somewhat satis	ments to programs; key		
2 = Satisfactory	and/or efficient adjustments; e intervention; cu	; delays are e mployees are ustomers indic	nts 80% of the time. Genera excusable and/or results in m capable and satisfactorily pr cate satisfaction.	inor programs oviding service without		
3 = Good Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually respons and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are satisfied				sion; key employees		
4 = Excellent Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsion highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts a require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.				s are experts and		
		•				
1. Quality of Coode/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence –						
1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification						
0 0 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 3 0 4						
		() 6 () 5				
2. Timeliness of Performance (Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)						
 O D D D A Bonitz has a great response time and on time with all estimates. O 2 O 3 O 4 						

3. Business Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)					
O 0 The Contractor has	The Contractor has a great work relation with the County.					
O 1						
O 2						
O 3						
O 4						
	et User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget –					
Pro	per Invoicing – No Substitutions)					
0 The Contractor is g	ood with all invoicing and estimates.					
1						
O 2						
O 3						
O 4						
	-					
5. Contractors Key Personnel	(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective					
5. contractors key Personner	Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)					
O 0 Bonitz's staff is kno	wledgeable and has great supervision.					
O 1						
O 2						
O 3						
O 4						

Overall Performance Ratin	g 3.00	Date	9/1/2022
Would you select/recomm	end this vendor again?	🖌 Yes	No
Rating completed by: Vijay Nair			
Department Head Name:	Joseph Davis		
Department Head Signature Geseph Davis			

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form

Submit

Print

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT						
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES						
Report Period Start	Report Per	iod End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End		
4/1/2022		/2022				
Purchaser Order Nun			Purchase Order Date			
	722-0322		3/7/20	22		
Department						
Bid Number		al Estate and A	Asset Management			
20ITB1258350			rpet Tile Installation and Rep	air Sorvicos		
Contractor	-00	08				
		Prime Con	tractors, Inc.			
			nce Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory		ract requireme or efficient; un	ents less than 50% of the time acceptable delay; incompeter			
1 = Poor	effective and/	or efficient; de	nts 70% of the time. Margina lays require significant adjust ble; customer somewhat satis	ments to programs; key		
2 = Satisfactory	and/or efficien adjustments; e intervention; c	t; delays are e employees are ustomers indic	ents 80% of the time. Genera excusable and/or results in m e capable and satisfactorily pr cate satisfaction.	inor programs oviding service without		
3 = Good	and/or efficien are highly con satisfied	t; delays have npetent and se	ents 90% of the time. Usually not impact on programs/mis eldom require guidance; custo	sion; key employees omers are highly		
4 = Excellent Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.						
		(Coosificatio	n Compliance Technical	verllener		
1. Quality of Goods/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification						
 O 0 0 1 O 2 O 3 O 4 						
2. Timeliness of Performance (Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)						
 O 0 1 O 2 O 3 O 4 						

3. Bu	usine	ss Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)				
0	0	Prime Contractors has a great working relation with the County and very responsible.					
0	1						
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						
4. Cu	uston		t User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – per Invoicing – No Substitutions)				
	0	Prime Contractors is good with all invoicing but sometimes prices is a little higher compared					
	1	to other vendors.					
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						
5 00	ontra	ctors Key Personnel	(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective				
5.00	, incrus	etors key rersonner	Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)				
0	0	Prime Contractors p	ersonnel is very skillful has a good management.				
0	1						
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						

Overall Performance Ratir	ng 3.00	Date	7/26/2022
Would you select/recomn	nend this vendor again?	Yes	No No
Rating completed by:	Michael Young		
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis		
Department Head Signature Jeseph Davis			

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form



Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

	COMPLIANCE						
CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT							
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES							
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES							
Report Period Start Report Per				Report Pe	eriod End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
		2022		6/3	0/2022	1/1/2022	12/31/2022
Purcha	aser	Order Nun				Purchase Order Date	
			52	2-0396		3/15/2	022
Depart	tmei	nt					
D: I NI				R		Asset Management	
Bid Nu		er TB1258350	~ (20	Service Comm		
Contra			J-C	50	Ca	rpet Tile Installation and Rep	bair Services
Contra	10101				HDI FI	oor, Inc.	
						nce Rating	
0 = Un	nsati	sfactory	e	ffective and	ntract requireme	acceptable delay; incompete	
1 = Po	or		e	ffective and	l/or efficient; del	ents 70% of the time. Margin lays require significant adjus ole; customer somewhat sati	tments to programs; key
2 = Sa	Satisfactory Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.						ninor programs roviding service without
3 = Go	3 = Good Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied					ssion; key employees	
4 = Excellent Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.					es are experts and		
1. Qua	1. Quality of Goods/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification						
0		The vendor is fully compliant with the technical and commercial specifications in the ITB.					
	1	Vendors ad	dmi	inistration a	and reporting in	contract execution is very go	ood. Technicians
	2	possess ne	ece	ssary know	ledgeing the wo	ork.	
	\bigcirc 3 \bigcirc 4						
U	+						
	2. Timeliness of Performance (Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)						ness to Directions/ tract)
0 1 0 2 0 3	 Completed work in a timely manner meeting deadlines and schedules. Vendor was communicative and very responsive to directions. 3 4 					s. Vendor was	

-							
3. Business Relations			(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)				
0	0	Vendor maintained excellent written and telephone communication with the Contract					
0	1		ry good coordination with other entities in the circle including the				
0	2	tenant, department an					
0	3]	. ,				
0	4						
4. Cı	iston		ser Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Invoicing – No Substitutions)				
	0	Vendor was flexible ar	nd met quality expectations fully. Invoices were accurate and timely				
	1	and within the quoted					
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						
5 (0	ntra	ctors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective				
5.00	mina	S	upervision/Management – Available as Needed)				
0	0	Contractor's key perso	nnel appeared knowledgeable and experienced during the meetings				
0	1		ndor readily provided update about the progress of awarded work.				
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						

Overall Performance Ratin	g 3.20	Date	7/26/2022
Would you select/recomm	end this vendor again?	 Yes 	No
Rating completed by:	Vijay Nair		
Department Head Name:	Joseph Davis		
Department Head Signatu	re Jeseph Davis		

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form



Save