

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT								
CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT								
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES								
Report Period Start Report Perio				ania d. En d	Contract Davied Otart			
Керс		/15/22	Report Pe	9/30/22	Contract Period Start 07/15/22	Contract Period End 12/31/22		
Purc	150,000	r Order Nun		0130122	Purchase Order Date	12/31/22		
i uio			22000000000	01060	8/5/2	2		
Depa				01000	01012	<u></u>		
			R	eal Estate and	Asset Management			
Bid N	lumb	er			ervice Commodity			
		22RFP0411	122C-MH		Reimage General Contractors			
Cont	racto	r						
					eral Contactors			
					nce Rating			
0 = L	Jnsat	isfactory	effective and	htract requireme d/or efficient; un ssatisfaction.	act requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, r efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of atisfaction.			
1 = Poor effective and/o			effective and	d/or efficient; de	ct requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key ginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.			
2 = Satisfactory and/or efficien adjustments; e			and/or efficie adjustments	ent; delays are e ; employees are	act requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective ;; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs mployees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without ustomers indicate satisfaction.			
3 = Good Archives contr and/or efficien are highly con			and/or efficie	ent; delays have	act requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective t; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees petent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly			
4 = Excellent Archives c			highly efficie	tract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; nt and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and nal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.				
1. Quality of Goods/Services			ervices		on Compliance – Technical E ministration – Personnel Qua			
00000	0 1 2 3 4		or provided excellent service in the areas of landscaping, installation, and grass mulitple Fulton County buildings and faclities.					
(More Milesters - Mat Day Osytest, Day The C								
2. Timeliness of Performance				agreement, Change – C	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)			
00000	 O O I I			has performed				

3. Business Relations			(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)				
0	0	The vendor is very responsive and reliable, and was accessible by txt, phone, or email.					
0	1						
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						
	istom		t User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget –				
4. CC	iston	Prop	er Invoicing – No Substitutions)				
	0	The vendor invoice	es were always timely and in acordance with the contract terms.				
	1						
0	2						
\odot	3						
0	4						
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective			(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective				
Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)			Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)				
0	0	The vendor key pers	sonnel was very effective at managing field staff to meet County				
0	1	expectaton.	n nannan a barna a barna 🖕 na barna annan ann ann ann an 🖕 a 🖉 a bhaile aigersachtacha ann airteacha aige				
0	2						
0	3						
\odot	4	1					

Overall Performance Ratir	ng 3.40	Date	10/5/2022	
Would you select/recomm	nend this vendor again?	 Yes 	No	
Rating completed by:	Anthony Spencer			
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis			
Department Head Signatu	re for a			

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form



Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT						
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES						
	FN	OFESSION	AL SERVICES			
Report Period Start	Report Per	iod End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End		
07/15/22		30/22	07/15/22	12/31/22		
Purchaser Order Nur	nber		Purchase Order Date			
	220000000000	1061	8/5/2	2		
Department						
Did Number			Asset Management			
Bid Number RFP#22RFP041		Service Comm	ervice Commodity Geoergia Green			
Contractor			Geoergia Green			
Contractor		Georg	a Green			
			nce Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory		ract requireme or efficient; un	act requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, r efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of			
1 = Poor	effective and/	or efficient; del	nct requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key ginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.			
2 = Satisfactory	and/or efficien adjustments; of intervention; of	ract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective at; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without customers indicate satisfaction.				
3 = Good	and/or efficier	ract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective t; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees npetent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly				
4 = Excellent	highly efficien	s contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.				
		(On a cifi a chi				
1. Quality of Goods/S	Services	(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification				
	or provided excellent service in the areas of landscaping, installation, and grass mulitple Fulton County buildings and faclities.					
2. Timeliness of Performance (Were Milestones Met Per Contract agreement, if applicable) – Respons Change – On Time Completion Per				ess to Directions/ ract)		
			ne as assigned. The vendor eet County priorities.	has performed		

3. Business Relations		ss Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)					
0	0	The vendor is very responsive and reliable, and was accessible by txt, phone, or email.						
0	1							
0	2							
\odot	3							
0	4							
4 0	istom	or Satisfaction	t User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget –					
7.00		Prop	per Invoicing – No Substitutions)					
	0	The vendor invoices were always timely and in acordance with the contract terms.						
	1							
0	2							
\odot	3							
0	4							
5. Contractors Key Personnel		rtors Key Personnel	(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective					
5.00	, include	ctors key reisonner	Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)					
0	0	The vendor key pers	sonnel was very effective at managing field staff to meet County					
0	1	expectaton.						
0	2							
\odot	3							
0	4	1						

Overall Performance Rating	g 3.00	Date	10/5/2022	
Would you select/recomme	end this vendor again?	🖌 Yes	No No	
Rating completed by:	Anthony Spencer			
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis			
Department Head Signature				
	()			

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form

Submit	Print	Save
	and the second	Ouve