




**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
07/15/22	09/30/22	07/15/22	12/31/22
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
DO 520 08052200000000001060		8/5/22	
Department			
Real Estate and Asset Management			
Bid Number		Service Commodity	
RFP#22RFP041122C-MH		Reimage General Contractors	
Contractor			
Reimage General Contractors			
Performance Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.		
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.		
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.		
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied		
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.		
1. Quality of Goods/Services		(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor provided excellent service in the areas of landscaping, installation, and grass cutting on multiple Fulton County buildings and facilities.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		
2. Timeliness of Performance		(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor met all timelines and milestone as assigned. The vendor has performed assigned task with very little notice to meet County priorities.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input type="radio"/>	3		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	4		

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor is very responsive and reliable, and was accessible by txt, phone, or email.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
	0	The vendor invoices were always timely and in accordance with the contract terms.
	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor key personnel was very effective at managing field staff to meet County expectation.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input type="radio"/>	3	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.40	Date	10/5/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Rating completed by:	Anthony Spencer		
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis		
Department Head Signature			

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save




**DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING &
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE**

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Report Period Start	Report Period End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
07/15/22	09/30/22	07/15/22	12/31/22
Purchaser Order Number		Purchase Order Date	
DO 520 08052200000000001061		8/5/22	
Department			
Real Estate and Asset Management			
Bid Number		Service Commodity	
RFP#22RFP041122C-MH		Georgia Green	
Contractor			
Georgia Green			
Performance Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.		
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.		
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.		
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied		
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.		
1. Quality of Goods/Services		(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor provided excellent service in the areas of landscaping, installation, and grass cutting on multiple Fulton County buildings and facilities.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		
2. Timeliness of Performance		(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)	
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor met all timelines and milestone as assigned. The vendor has performed assigned task with very little notice to meet County priorities.	
<input type="radio"/>	1		
<input type="radio"/>	2		
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3		
<input type="radio"/>	4		

3. Business Relations		(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor is very responsive and reliable, and was accessible by txt, phone, or email.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
4. Customer Satisfaction		(Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor invoices were always timely and in accordance with the contract terms.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	
5. Contractors Key Personnel		(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)
<input type="radio"/>	0	The vendor key personnel was very effective at managing field staff to meet County expectation.
<input type="radio"/>	1	
<input type="radio"/>	2	
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	3	
<input type="radio"/>	4	

Overall Performance Rating	3.00	Date	10/5/2022
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Rating completed by:	Anthony Spencer		
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis		
Department Head Signature			

After completing the form:
 Submit to Purchasing
 Print a copy for your records
 Save the form

Submit

Print

Save