

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT CONSTRUCTION SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 1/1/2021 6/30/2021 1/1/2021 12/31/2021 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date 102120-1897 10/22/2021 Department Real Estate and Asset Management Bid Number Service Commodity 19ITB432768K-JAJ Task Order Contract for Minor Construction Projects Contractor Brown & Root Industries Services, LLC Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive. effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive: highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Project Development Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Responsive, efficient, and effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal direction; customer expections were 2 meet. 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Reliabilty 2. Design - Responsiveness to Directions/Change - On Time Completion Per Contract - Liquidated Damages) Firm meets agreed upon schedule completion schedule, submittal review, pay app certification, and punch list preparation. 2 3 4

3. A	ward	- Proposal De	velopi	ment (Timeless/Due D	uties - Reasonable/Coope	erative - Flexible/Motivated			
0	0	- Proposal Development (Timeless/Due Duties - Reasonable/Cooperative - Flexible/Motivated - Cooperative project team often provides complimentary additional services to resolve - unexpected issues and maintain project momentum.							
0	2	unexpected	133463	and maintain project	momentum.				
0	4								
4. Co	onstri	actions			tones Met - Met/Exceede picing - Quality of Work Re				
	0	Design servi	ces ha	ve been quality orien	ted, timely, technologica	ally advanced, and			
	2	competitively	price	d. Outstanding profes	sional services firm.				
$\frac{1}{6}$	3								
0	4								
									
5. Contractors Key Personnel			nnel		(Credential/Experience Appropriate- Effective Supervision/Management - Available as Needed)				
0	0	Readily avail	able a	nd supports project m	anagement team.				
0	1								
O									
0									
	4								
		erformance R		4.00	Date	7/27/2021			
				d this vendor again?	Yes	No			
		mpleted by:		eddie Robinson					
Depa	Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis								

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form

Department Head Signature Gaseph Danis

	Sub	VE SE	1
ALCOHOL: N	51U10	100	# EXECUTED
	essi en	3635	

Print

Save



CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

		CONSTRUCTI	ON SERVICES		
		CONCINCOI	ON OLIVIOLO		
Report Period Start	Report Pe	eriod End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End	
1/1/2021	6/3	30/2021	1/1/2021	12/31/2021	
Purchaser Order Nun	nber		Purchase Order Date		
	1621-0429		4/16	/2021	
Department					
	R		Asset Management		
Bid Number		Service Comm			
19ITB432768F	<-JAJ	Task C	order Contract for Minor Co	nstruction Projects	
Contractor		ACTDA Constru	ation Comics II C		
			ction Service, LLC Ince Rating		
	Archives cor		ents less than 50% of the ti	mo not rosponsivo	
0 = Unsatisfactory	effective and		acceptable delay; incompe		
1 = Poor	effective and	d/or efficient; de	ents 70% of the time. Marg lays require significant adju ple; customer somewhat sa	ustments to programs; key	
2 = Satisfactory and/or efficient		ent; delays are e ; employees are customers indi	ents 80% of the time. Gene excusable and/or results in e capable and satisfactorily cate satisfaction.	minor programs providing service without	
3 = Good	and/or efficience are highly constants	tract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective nt; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees mpetent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly			
4 = Excellent	highly efficie	nt and/or effect	ents 100% of the time. Immove; no delays; key employ sustomers expectations are	ees are experts and	
	Y.151 - 11.15 - 15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.1				
1. Project Developme	nt	(Specification Reports/Add	(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification		
O 2 excellent s	ervices and th		of the time. ASTRA Construction of the time. ASTRA Construction of the time. ASTRA Construction of the time.	The state of the s	
2. Design		- Responsiv	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Reliability - Responsiveness to Directions/Change – On Time Completion Per Contract - Liquidated Damages)		
O 2 and exceed	ded every proj	ect requiremen	the time. ASTRA Construct, time lines and milestones naintain project momentum	s. Their staff are very	

. Award - Proposal Development (Timeless/Due Duties - Reasonable/Cooperative - Flexible/Motivated						
O 0 Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. ASTRA Construction Services business relationship and communication with customers are excellent. Their staff are very responsive to customers' needs and expectations.						
. Constructions (Mobilization Timely - Were Milestones Met - Met/Exceeded Specification - Within Budget Performance - Proper Invoicing - Quality of Work Responsive to Owner)						
Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. ASTRA Construction Services have bee exceptional when meeting customer's satisfactions and expectations. Customers are very						
pleased with their design plans, specifications and attention to details. Outstanding						
professional services firm.						
9 4						
. Contractors Key Personnel (Credential/Experience Appropriate- Effective Supervision/Management - Available as Needed)						
Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. ASTRA Construction Services key personnel are very highly efficient, effective and professional at all times with the customer. ASTRA Construction Services is always available and exceeds customers' expectation.						
verall Performance Rating 3.80 Date 8/4/2021						
/ould you select/recommend this vendor again? Yes No						
ating completed by: Keith Johnson						
epartment Head Name: Joseph N. Davis						
Department Head Signature Goseph Davis						
ter completing the form:						
Ibmit to Purchasing						
Print a copy for your records						
ve the form						

Print

Save

Submit



CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT CONSTRUCTION SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 1/1/2021 6/30/2021 1/1/2021 12/31/2021 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date 032321-0474 3/23/2021 Department Real Estate and Asset Management Bid Number Service Commodity 19ITB432768K-JAJ Task Order Contract for Minor Construction Projects Contractor **CRM Construction** Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Project Development Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification Contractor provided a wide variety of small interior and exterior improvements to meet the 1 needs of electrical, framing, and wall enhancements. 2 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Reliabilty 2. Design - Responsiveness to Directions/Change - On Time Completion Per Contract - Liquidated Damages) Contractor meets agreed upon schedule whether design completion, submittal review, pay app certification, and punch list preparation. 2 3 4

3. Award - Proposal Developr	nent (Timeless/Due D	uties - Reasonable/Coopera	tive - Flexible/Motivated				
() 1	Cooperative project team often provides complimentary additional services to resolve unexpected issues and maintain project momentum.						
		tones Met - Met/Exceeded S icing - Quality of Work Resp					
Design services ha	O 1 Design services have been quality oriented, timely, technologically advanced, and competitively priced. Outstanding professional services firm.						
5. Contractors Key Personnel	(Credential/Experience - Available as Needed)	Appropriate- Effective Supe	ervision/Management				
have experienced.	O 0 Senior Project Manager (Earl Allee) one of the most technically skilled project managers I have experienced. Readily available, integrates junior associates into program, and supports project management team.						
Overall Performance Rating	4.00	Date	8/4/2021				
Would you select/recommen	Would you select/recommend this vendor again? Yes No						
Rating completed by: Lloyd Nesbitt							
Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis							
Department Head Signature Goseph Davis							
After completing the form:							
Submit to Purchasing							

Print

Save

Print a copy for your records

Save the form

Submit



CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

		CONSTRUCTI	ON SERVICES		
		22	J., JEIWIOLO	-	
Report Period Start	Report Pe		Contract Period Start	Contract Period End	
1/1/2021		0/2021	1/1/2021	12/31/2021	
Purchaser Order Num			Purchase Order Date		
	821-0780		6/8/	/2021	
Department	D	al Estate and	Assat Managament		
Bid Number		Service Comm	Asset Management		
19ITB432768k	(-,IA,I		order Contract for Minor Co	onstruction Projects	
Contractor		, work o	radi delitiade foi ivilior de	5110111101101111110 0010	
	ŀ	lawk Construct	on Company, LLC		
			nce Rating		
0 = Unsatisfactory		or efficient; un	ents less than 50% of the ti acceptable delay; incompe		
1 = Poor	effective and	or efficient; de	ents 70% of the time. Marg lays require significant adj ple; customer somewhat s	ustments to programs; key	
2 = Satisfactory and/or efficient adjustments; e		nt; delays are e employees are customers indi	excusable and/or results in e capable and satisfactorily cate satisfaction.	providing service without	
3 = Good	and/or efficie are highly co satisfied	nt; delays have mpetent and se	ents 90% of the time. Usua not impact on programs/r eldom require guidance; cu	mission; key employees ustomers are highly	
4 = Excellent	highly efficier	nt and/or effect	ents 100% of the time. Immove; no delays; key employ sustomers expectations are	ees are experts and	
4.0		(Specification	on Compliance – Technica	Il Excellence –	
Project Developme	nt 		Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification		
O 0 O 1 O 2 Ievel of technical excellence. Reports/Administration was not satisfactory at the beginning, but the vendor was able to make satisfactory improvements in the process.					
2. Design		- Responsiv	tones Met Per Contract – eness to Directions/Chanç Completion Per Contract -	ge	
 Vendor has met all milestones established in the assigned tasks. Very responsive to suggestions and directions. Vendor is entrusted with work that does not require design changes or design inputs. 4 					

	Proposa	al Developn	nent (Timeless/Due D	uties - Reasonable/Coopera	tive - Flexible/Motivated			
O 1 O 2	Vendor took some time to fall in line with the Fulton County's requirements for proposal development. However necessary changes have been made after two or three meetings. Vendor has been very cooperative with FC staff and customers in identifying the needs and executing the work.							
4. Constru	ctions		The second secon	tones Met - Met/Exceeded Sicing - Quality of Work Resp	• Commence of the commence of			
Budget Performance - Proper Invoicing - Quality of Work Responsive to Owner) Vendor met with all scheduling requirements. Met with customers and Project Managers several time to finalized schedule. Once finalized the schedules were closely followed. The have been several complaints about the work, but the vendor was very responsive to the customer and the Project Manager in identifying such deficiencies and in rectifying them in timely manner.								
5. Contracto	5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credential/Experience Appropriate- Effective Supervision/Management - Available as Needed)							
$\begin{array}{c c} \hline O & 1 \\ \hline O & 2 \end{array}$	though a close out document was not applicable for the type of work undertaken. The key personnel, of the vendor, assigned to the projects showed proper understanding of the work and exhibited experience and knowledge sufficient for the assigned work.							
Overall Per			3.20	Date	8/3/2021			
			this vendor again?	Yes	☐ No			
Rating com			ay Nair					
Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis								
Department Head Signature Geseph Danis								
After com Submit to Print a co	Purch	nasing	5					
Save the	Save the form							

Print

Save

Submit



CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT CONSTRUCTION SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 1/1/2021 6/30/2021 1/1/2021 12/31/2021 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date 031721-0420 3/17/2021 Department Real Estate and Asset Management Bid Number Service Commodity 19ITB432768K-JAJ Task Order Contract for Minor Construction Projects Contractor Prime Contractors, Inc. Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive. effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive: highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Project Development Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification Firm Project Manager showed clear understanding of the project scope of work and 1 specifications. Delivered high quality goods and excellent finish. 2 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Reliabilty 2. Design - Responsiveness to Directions/Change On Time Completion Per Contract - Liquidated Damages) Firm met agreed upon schedule. And, worked seemlessly with DA's Office staff members to ensure that their office schedule is not disrupted during the course of the painting job. 2 3 4

2 A D						
3. Award - Proposal Development (Timeless/Due Duties - Reasonable/Cooperative - Flexible/Motivated						
The firms project team were cooperative	and responsive to all our questions. A					
knowledgeable Superintendent was pre	sent on site through out the duration of the project.					
Any unexpected issues were brought up	timely and resolved immediately to maintain project					
momentum.						
O 4 morneman.						
	stones Met - Met/Exceeded Specification - Within picing - Quality of Work Responsive to Owner)					
The firm met all of our quality expectation	ns and completed the project on time and within					
budget.	The same sempresses are project on time and maining					
0 2						
O 3						
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credential/Experienc - Available as Needed)	e Appropriate- Effective Supervision/Management					
O 0 The firm Project Manager (Gary Frantz)	was quite knowledgeable and provided effective					
O 1 supervision of his staff and coordination						
O 2	with the user group operations time.					
O 3						
O 4						
Overall Performance Rating 4.00	Date 8/4/2021					
Would you select/recommend this vendor again?	✓ Yes No					
Rating completed by: Hilary Ndulue						
Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis						

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form

Department Head Signature Geseph Dunis

100	NO CONTRACTOR	1000	
	(A	10.11	
OL	13J1	nit	

Print

Save



CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

	CONS	TRUCTIO	N SERVICES	
	00110	11100110	NOLIVIOLO	
Report Period Start	Report Period Er		Contract Period Start	Contract Period End
1/1/2021	6/30/2021		1/1/2021	12/31/2021
Purchaser Order Nur			Purchase Order Date	
	1621-0429		4/16	6/2021
Department	Dool Cat	-t \ \	and Management	
Bid Number	Service	e Commo	sset Management	
19ITB432768H			der Contract for Minor Co	onstruction Projects
Contractor		Tuok Ore	ior contract for willfor oc	oneti detteri i rejecto
	Rubic	and Sons	Interiors, Inc.	
		erforman		
0 = Unsatisfactory		cient; unac	ts less than 50% of the ti cceptable delay; incompe	
1 = Poor	effective and/or effic	cient; dela	ts 70% of the time. Marg ys require significant adj e; customer somewhat s	ustments to programs; key
2 = Satisfactory and/or efficient adjustments; e		act requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective t; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without ustomers indicate satisfaction.		
3 = Good	and/or efficient; dela	ays have n	ts 90% of the time. Usua not impact on programs/r dom require guidance; cu	
4 = Excellent	highly efficient and/o	or effective	s 100% of the time. Imme; no delays; key employ stomers expectations are	ees are experts and
1. Project Developme			Compliance – Technica	
O 2 and their p	ontract requirements	100% of t	he time. Rubio and Sons	s provide excellent service aff are very knowledgeable
2. Design	- Re	esponsiver	ones Met Per Contract – ness to Directions/Chang ompletion Per Contract -	ge
O 2 every proje		lines and r	milestones. Their staff ar	s have met and exceeded re very adaptable and

3. Award - Proposal Deve	lopment	(Timeless/Due D	uties - Reasonable/Cooperat	ive - Flexible/Motivated				
communication	O 0 Achieves contract requirements 100% of the time. Rubio and Sons business relationship and communication with customers are excellent. Their staff are very responsive to customers needs and expectations.							
			tones Met - Met/Exceeded S icing - Quality of Work Respo					
Achieves contra when meeting of their knowledge	their knowledge of construction, specifications and attention to details. Outstanding							
5. Contractors Key Personne	2000	dential/Experience ailable as Needed)	Appropriate- Effective Supe	rvision/Management				
highly efficient,	highly efficient, effective and professional at all times with the customer. Rubio and Sons is always available and exceeds customers' expectation.							
Overall Performance Ratio	ng 4.0	0	Date	8/4/2021				
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? Yes No								
Rating completed by: Keith Johnson								
Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis								
Department Head Signature Geseph Daeis								
After completing the	form:							
Submit to Purchasing	, -							
Drink a constant source and								

Print a copy for your records Save the form

	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Publisher, Name of Street, Name of Street, or other Publisher, Name of Street, Name of		
WINDS HER	咽唇囊瘤	m	
S	A SH B	1388 8	# MICHIGAN

Print

Save