

## DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

## CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES						
Report Period Start         Report Period End           4/1/2021         6/30/2021			Contract Period Start 1/1/2021	Contract Period End 12/31/2021		
Purchaser Order Number 030821-0351			Purchase Order Date 3/8/	2021		
Department						
Bid Number			l Estate and Asset Management ervice Commodity			
19ITB1187420 Contractor	C-BKJ	Fire a	Fire and Intrusion Alarm Testing and Maintenance			
Contractor			Security, Inc.			
0 = Unsatisfactory	Performance Rating  Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.					
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.					
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.					
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied					
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.					
1. Quality of Goods/S	ervices		on Compliance – Technical ministration – Personnel Q			
O 0 1 Goods and services are of high quality. Reports and invoice sent in timely manner. Some inspection reports slow to generate and could not be found on portal. Invoice arrived befor report in some cases.						
2. Timeliness of Performance		agreement,	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)			
O 0 1   Responsive	e to change.					

3. Business Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)						
O 0 1   Very responsive   O 2   O 3   O 4   O 4   O 5	Very responsive to inquiries. Notifies me promptly if there is a problem.						
0 4							
4. Customer Satisfaction   (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)							
0 1 Met expectations. Invoicing proper but slow.  0 2 0 3 0 4							
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)							
O 0   Experienced credentials . Effective Management.  O 2   O 3   O 4   O 4   O 7							
Overall Performance Rat	ing 3.60 Date 8/2/2021						
Would you select/recom	mend this vendor again? Yes No						
Rating completed by:	Vijay Nair						
	Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis						
Department Head Signat	ure James						
After completing the form:							
Submit to Purchasing							
Print a copy for your records							
Save the form							
Submit	Print						



## DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

## CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

	DD/	255000	1AL 0ED\/10E0		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES					
Report Period Start	Report Perio	nd End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End	
4/1/2021	6/30/		1/1/2021	12/31/2021	
Purchaser Order Nun			Purchase Order Date		
	0821-0350		3/8/	2021	
Department					
D'IN I			Asset Management	***************************************	
Bid Number		Service Comn		and Maintenance	
19ITB1187420 Contractor	2-BVJ	Fire a	and Intrusion Alarm Testing	and Maintenance	
Contractor		ENTEC S	Systems, Inc.		
			ance Rating		
0 = Unsatisfactory	Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive,				
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.				
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.				
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied			nission; key employees	
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.				
Quality of Goods/Services		(Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence – Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification			
O 0					
		(10/ 5.5)			
2. Timeliness of Performance		(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)			
O 0 1 Very responsive to directions.  O 3 0 4					

3. Business Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem	Notifications)			
$\bigcirc$		rvotineationsy			
O 1 Very responsive to	Very responsive to inquiries. Notifies me promptly if there is a problem.				
O 2					
O 3					
<b>◎</b> 4					
	et User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Witl per Invoicing – No Substitutions)	hin Budget –			
0 Met expectations	Invoicing proper but slow.				
1	involoning propor but diow.				
O 2					
<b>③</b> 3					
O 4					
	(C				
5. Contractors Key Personnel	(Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)				
O 0 Experienced grader	ntials . Effective Management.				
O 1	milais . Effective Management.				
O 2					
O 3					
<b>(</b> 4		i i i			
Overall Performance Rating	3.60 Date 8/2/2	021			
Would you select/recommend	d this vendor again?  Yes  N	lo			
Rating completed by: Vija	ay Nair				
Department Head Name:	Joseph N. Davis				
Department Head Signature	Geesph Davis	*			
After completing the fer	ana i				
After completing the form:					
Submit to Purchasing					
Print a copy for your records					
Save the form					

Print

Save

Submit