

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT					
		סס			
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES					
Report Period Start Report Perio		od End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End	
	1/2021	7/1/2		02/05/21	12/31/2021
Purchase	r Order Nun			Purchase Order Date	
		521000*00195		02/05/2	021
Departme	ent				
				ASSET MANAGEMENT	
Bid Numb	And the second se		Service Comm		
Contracto	ITB120358	5-65	FIRE PROT	ECTION (SPRINKLER) SYS	TEM MAINTENANCE
Contracto)[VSC Fire	and Security	
	Constant and another the constant			nce Rating	
		Archives contra		nts less than 50% of the time	not responsive
0 = Unsa	tisfactory		r efficient; un	acceptable delay; incompeter	
1 = PoorArchives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.					ments to programs; key
2 = Satisfactory and/or efficient adjustments; en		act requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective ; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs mployees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without ustomers indicate satisfaction.			
3 = Good Archives contra		act requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective ; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees petent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly			
4 = Excellent highly efficient		act requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and I directions; customers expectations are exceeded.			
			10 10 11		
1. Quality of Goods/Services				on Compliance – Technical E ministration – Personnel Qua	
0 0 1 0 2 3 0 4	Contractor provided services and parts of good quality. The technicians possessed sufficient knowledge in their trade and reports and documentation were satisfactory				
2. Timeliness of Performance			(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)		
0 0	Always meeting milestones and deadlines. Response times were in line with contract and			ne with contract and	
$\begin{array}{c c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 2 \end{array}$					
$\bigcirc 2$ $\bigcirc 3$	vendor was responsive to County schedule and changes				
0 3					

3. Busine	ss Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)			
O 0 O 1 O 2 O 3 O 4	O0O1O2O3				
4. Customer Satisfaction – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)					
0 1 2 3 0 4	Work meets quality expectations. Vendor is always within budget and invoices are accurate and timely. Vendor also has an invoice tracking system that helps pay invoices in a timely manner				
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)					
0 0 1 0 2 0 3 0 4	Vendor's key personnel are all knowledgeable. Filed management is very good. Vendor's services and advices are available as needed.				

Overall Performance Ratir	ng 3.20	Date	8/25/21	
Would you select/recomm	nend this vendor again?	Yes	No	
Rating completed by: Vijay Nair				
Department Head Name: Joseph N.Davis				
Department Head Signatu	re Joseph M. Davis			

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form

Submit	Print	
--------	-------	--

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT						
CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT						
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES						
Report Period Start Report Perio		eriod End	Contract Period Start	Contract Period End		
4/1/2021	7/	1/2021	02/05/2021	12/31/2021		
Purchaser Order Nun			Purchase Order Date			
DO 020	521000*0019	6	02/05/2021			
Department						
	REAL		ASSET MANAGEMENT			
Bid Number			ervice Commodity			
19ITB1203580	C-GS	FIRE PROT	FIRE PROTECTION (SPRINKLER) SYSTEM MAINTENANCE			
Contractor						
	C		PROTECTION INC			
			nce Rating			
0 = Unsatisfactory		l/or efficient; un	act requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, r efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of tisfaction.			
1 = Poor	1 = Poor Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.					
2 = Satisfactory	and/or efficie adjustments intervention;	ent; delays are e ; employees are customers indic	act requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective ;; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs mployees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without ustomers indicate satisfaction.			
3 = Good and/or efficient		ent; delays have ompetent and se	act requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective t; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees petent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly			
4 = Excellent highly efficient		nt and/or effecti	act requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and I directions; customers expectations are exceeded.			
1 Quality of Goods/Services (Specification Compliance – Technical Excellence –						
1. Quality of Goods/S	ervices	Reports/Adu	n Compliance – Technical E ministration – Personnel Qua	xcellence – lification		
	provided services and parts of very good quality. The technicians possessed in their trade and reports and documentation were professional in standard					
2. Timeliness of Perfo	ormance	agreement,	(Were Milestones Met Per Contract – Response Time (per agreement, if applicable) – Responsiveness to Directions/ Change – On Time Completion Per Contract)			
 0 1 2 3 4 				insiveness to directions		

3. Business Relations	(Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)			
O 0 Vendor has O 1 to inquiries	Vendor has maintained good business relations with contract management staff. Response to inquiries are delayed by one or two days. However most responses are positive and vendor is always adaptable to changes on County side			
0 4	_			
4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions)				
1 1 .	Work meets full satisfaction in technical matters involved. Vendor is always within budget and invoices are accurate and timely.			
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)				
0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 3 0 4				

Overall Performance Ratir	ng 3.40	Date	8/17/2021	
Would you select/recomn	nend this vendor again?	Yes	No No	
Rating completed by:	Vijay Nair	jay Nair		
Department Head Name: Joseph N. Davis				
Department Head Signatu	Ire Joseph M. Davis			

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form



Save