

DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT GOODS AND COMMODITIES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 1/1/2021 6/30/2021 1/1/2021 12/31/2021 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date 020921*225 2/9/2021 Department Real Estate and Asset Management Bid Number Service Commodity 20ITB125835C-GS Carpet Tile Installation and Repair Services Contractor Bonitz, Inc. Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive. effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Pooremployees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive: highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration – Personnel Qualification 1 Bonitz is a new carpet contractor with the County and so far is meeting service expectations. 2 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per 2. Timeliness of Performance agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Directions/ Change - On Time Completion Per Contract) Comments The vendor has a great response time and on time with all estimates. 2 3

3. Business Relations	(Responsivene	ss to Inquires – Prompt	Problem Notifications)	
O 0 1 Comments The vendor has a great work relation with the County.				
	t User Quality Expecta per Invoicing – No Sub	ations – Met Specification estitutions)	– Within Budget –	
O 1 Comments The vendor is good O 3 O 4	with all invoicing and	estimates.		
	/C			
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)				
O 0 Comments The vendor has a great supervision.				
1				
Overall Performance Rating	3.00	Date	7/26/21	
Would you select/recommend		Yes	No	
Rating completed by: Department Head Name:	ter Cofield			
Department Head Signature	Joseph N. Davis Geseph Davis			
After completing the for Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your rec	m:			
Save the form				

Print

Save

Submit



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Report Period Start Report Period End Contract Period Start Contract Period End 1/1/2021 7/31/2021 1/1/2021 12/31/2021 Purchaser Order Number Purchase Order Date DO 520 03102100*00376 03/10/2021 Department REAL ESTATE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT Bid Number Service Commodity 20ITB125835C-GS Carpet and Carpet Tiles Replacement Contractor PRIME CONTRACTORS INC Performance Rating Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of 0 = Unsatisfactory customer dissatisfaction. Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key 1 = Poor employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied. Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs 2 = Satisfactory adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction. Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees 3 = Goodare highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and 4 = Excellent require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded. (Specification Compliance - Technical Excellence -1. Quality of Goods/Services Reports/Administration - Personnel Qualification The vendor is fully compliant with the technical and commercial specifications in the ITB. 1 Vendors administration and reporting in contract execution is very good. Technicians 2 possess necessary knowledge in the work 3 (Were Milestones Met Per Contract - Response Time (per 2. Timeliness of Performance agreement, if applicable) - Responsiveness to Directions/ Change - On Time Completion Per Contract) Completed work in a timely manner meeting deadlines and schedules. Vendor was communicative and very responsive to directions 2 3 4

3. Business Relation	ness Relations (Responsiveness to Inquires – Prompt Problem Notifications)				ations)
Manager.	Vendor maintained excellent written and telephone communication with the Contract Manager. Very good coordination with other entities in the circle including the tenant department and building security				
4. Customer Satisfac		er Quality Expecta		pecification – Within Bud	get –
The second of		I met quality expe		nvoices were accurate ar	nd timely
5. Contractors Key Personnel (Credentials/Experience Appropriate – Effective Supervision/Management – Available as Needed)					
O 0 Contractor's key personnel were well credentialed and eefective in planning and executing the work. Overall supervision of the work was very good. Vendor always provided feed back or update about the progress/issues					
Overall Performance	e Rating 3.20	0	Date	9/13/21	
Would you select/recommend this vendor again? Yes No					
Rating completed by: Vijay Nair					
Department Head Name: JOSEPH N. DAVIS					
Department Head Signature					
	700 Dec				
After completing	the form:				
Submit to Purcha	asing				

After completing the form: Submit to Purchasing Print a copy for your records Save the form

940310200000	AVECTOR OF THE	e Siddle	5005C	2000
C			25	
	Telo)	me	1188	

Print

Save



DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CONTRACT COMPLIANCE

CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE REPORT

	PRO	FESSIO	NAL SERVICES		
Report Period Start 1/1/2021			Contract Period Start 1/1/2021	Contract Period End	
Purchaser Order Number DO 520 090121000000000011			Purchase Order Date 09/01/2021		
Department				72021	
REAL ESTATE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT Bid Number Service Commodity					
20ITB125835 Contractor	C-GS		Carpet and Carpet Tiles Ro	eplacement	
			loors LLC ance Rating		
O = Unsatisfactory Archives contract requirements less than 50% of the time not responsive, effective and/or efficient; unacceptable delay; incompetence; high degree of customer dissatisfaction.					
1 = Poor	Archives contract requirements 70% of the time. Marginally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays require significant adjustments to programs; key employees marginally capable; customer somewhat satisfied.				
2 = Satisfactory	Archives contract requirements 80% of the time. Generally responsive, effective and/or efficient; delays are excusable and/or results in minor programs adjustments; employees are capable and satisfactorily providing service without intervention; customers indicate satisfaction.				
3 = Good	Archives contract requirements 90% of the time. Usually responsive; effective and/or efficient; delays have not impact on programs/mission; key employees are highly competent and seldom require guidance; customers are highly satisfied				
4 = Excellent	Archives contract requirements 100% of the time. Immediately responsive; highly efficient and/or effective; no delays; key employees are experts and require minimal directions; customers expectations are exceeded.				
1. Quality of Goods/S	ervices	(Specificat Reports/Ad	ion Compliance – Technical dministration – Personnel Qu	Excellence – ualification	
O 0 1 Vendor was awarded a work only in September 2021. However based on tehe interaction and submitted quotes, it appears that the vendor understands our requirements. O 3 O 4					
2. Timeliness of Performance (Were Milestones Met Per Contra agreement, if applicable) – Respo			, if applicable) – Responsive	eness to Directions/	
O 0 1 Vendor has complied with response requirements in site visit, submission of quotes and submittal of samples.					

3. Bu	ısine	ss Relations	(Responsivene	ss to Inquires – P	rompt Problem Notifications)
0000	0 1 2 3 4	Vendor was responsive to telephone communication with the Contract Manager. Complied with request from the Contracts Manager for site visits and measurement of work.			
4. Cu	4. Customer Satisfaction (Met User Quality Expectations – Met Specification – Within Budget – Proper Invoicing – No Substitutions) 0 Vendor has submitted onloy one quote so far and teh prices quoted are reasonable and				
0	2 3 4	samples subm	itted meets the quality sta	F	-
5. Co	0 1 2 3		Supervision/Manage ey personnel appeared kno	gement – Availab owledgeable and	
Over	all Pe	erformance Rati	ing 3.00	Date	9/13/21
			mend this vendor again?	✓ Yes	□ No
		mpleted by:	Vijay Nair		
Department Head Name: John N. OAvis					
After Subn Print	r coi nit t	mpleting the o Purchasing opy for your form	e form:		
	Sub	mit	Р	rint	Save